Waging Peace. Fight Digsease. Building Hope.

## Final Report on Ecuador's September 30, 2007, Constituent Assembly Elections

#### Introduction

The Carter Center conducted a targetlestervation mission of Ecuador's September 30, 2007, elections for 130 members of then Stituent Assembly with the goal of demonstrating the Center's interest and process. The Carter Center assessed the political and electoral environment prior to the Constituent Assemblections and the transparency of the process.

The Carter Center received an invitation doserve the elections from the Supreme Electoral Council (TSE) on June 12, 2007. A Cattenter representative was present for the second-round of the presidential elections in November 2007 and the inauguration of President Correa in January 2008. The government of Ecuador, all major political parties and movements, theal domestic observation group, Citizen's Participation/Participación Ciudadana de the international community welcomed the Center's observation mission forettonstituent Assembly election.

The Carter Center's small mission include detestablishment of a field office with a Carter Center representative, regular vilsits the Chief of Mission and the deployment of short-term observers. Threission met frequently with kyerepresentatives from the Supreme Electoral Council, the government Ecuador, potical parties and movements across the political spectrum, e

Ambassador Jaime Aparicio of Bolivia. The delegation met with President Rafael Correa, Vice President Lenin Moreno, Figre Minister María Fernanda Espinosa, President of the Congress Jergevallos, political candides and leaders from across the political spectrum and the heads of DAS, EU and local domestic observation missions.

On October 1, 2007, former President Toledioveleed the Carter Center's statement on the elections, which was well-received by local stakeholders and the international community alike.

The Carter Center's decision field a small-scale electoral mission complemented its other projects in Ecuador. In September 2016, Carter Center Lanched two conflict resolution related projectsupported by the United Nations Development Program (UNDP). These projects include a conflicted development anyasis of Ecuador's Northern Border (Frontera Northewith Colombia, and a Dialogue process between opinion-makers from Colombia and Ecuadorich is designed to improve bilateral relations and coopetion between the two countries.

In 2008, the Carter Center continued the societates and began initiatives to support the Constituent Assembly. The Center monitored and reported on the work of the Constituent Assembly, producing a 5-page/edeikly report and a monthly in-depth analytical report. At the request of the President of the Constituent Assembly, and in collaboration with other members of the international community, the Carter Center organized two seminars on the recent Constituent Assembly processes in Bolivia and Colombia.

#### **Political Context**

Over the past decade, Ecuador has experienced vere collapse of its political system and institutions. Political instability hase on the norm, with eight Presidents serving since 1996. Economist Rafael Correa was elected with 57% of the popular vote in second-round elections in November 2006. Control the backing of the political movement Alianza País (Country Alliance), promise obroad political, social and economic changes to address poverty, in integral to a new institutional framework to support these of the political stall by would be developed in a new Constitution.

Following his election, Preside@torrea's highest priority was to convoke a Constituent Assembly to draft the new Constitution. On January 15, 2007, he signed an executive decree asking the TSE to organize a referendum on whether to hold a Constituent Assembly to write a new Constitution, the 20 Ecuador's history.

Confrontations betweethe President, Congress, toenstitutional Court and the TSE over the referendum occurred over thextneeveral months. President Correa's executive decree gave the Constituent Assembly broad and full powers, including the power to dissolve Congress and other organs of control. When the TSE called for the referendum, the Congress dismissed thesident of the TSE. He responded by dismissing 57 (out of 100) members of Congress who opposed the terms of the , and by re9ng 57 (

dismissed from Congress were not reinstated their political rights were suspended for a year.

The referendum to convene a Constituent

No mention of statistical analysis of Luksberto Quiroga or the lectoral system report by Fabrice Lehoucq.

## **Election Day Activities**

The Carter Center teams observed voting patagesses in some 50 polling stations. At each polling site, observers consulted with ETGS ficials presiding over the voting site, with presiding members of the voting tables or JRW (a receptoras de votos), voters, accredited members of politic parties and movements, and other domestic and international observers.

The Carter Center's observers reported **that**voting sites were fairly well-organized, calm and experienced minor problems. Mtestms began and ended their observation work at the same voting site and also observed the countinge defallors there. The Carter Center's observers gathered restudion several JRVs late into the night on behalf of the OAS and its quick-count.

## **Election Findings**

#### Pre-electoral observations

There were several important advances in this election in extending representation and rights to all Ecuadorians, and were several issues of near to the Carter Center.

The Carter Center notes the following advances:

- x The law of quotas for women candidates fully implemented for the first time, as the TSE required candidates cordered alternatively by gender on the ballot. This allowed for greater female presentation in the electoral process and subsequently in the Constituent Assembly, where 34% of the members are female.
- x The TSE's new public financing arrangement for advertising for radio, TV and printed communications (calletranjas publicitarias publicity spots) was a positive step designed to level the pinary field among candidates by offering them equal access to political advertising iven that the system was criticized by some for not being implemented fairly for prohibiting privately financed political advertising, it may be worth vising and improving before the next elections.
- x The extension of the right to vote **ftbre** many Ecuadorians living abroad was a positive step in extending voting rights for all citizens.

Prior to Election Day, the Carter Centerpessed its concern about severe matters, including:

x The serious complaints about the usestate resources (from the national government, mayoral offices and presence in favor of certain political overnments or candidates, which raised questions regarding the fairs see of the playing field.

- x The presence of incidents of personal insults among candidates and the presentation of unrealistic campaigns proposthat were not relevant to the new Constitution.
- x The TSE's inconsistency regarding its rowules (e.g. the use of exit polls and quick counts) and electoral calendar.

## **Election Day**

The Carter Center targeted assessmenteo Steptember 2007 elections finds them to be free, fair and transparent under Ecuadro riaw and international standards. The problems that occurred Election Day did not affect the ghts of citizens to exercise their vote or the final outcome.

The Carter Center mission idential the following problems:

- x There was inadequate information givenvoters within the voting sites about exactly where to vote.
- x The absence of poll workers caused delaystarting the process at some voting sites.
- x There was a poor distribution of speaand JRVs in some voting sites.
- x The TSE coordinators seemed poorlyeppared to resolve organizational and procedural problems in some voting sites.
- x Some candidates showed disrespectifierelectoral law by campaigning inside and nearby the voting sites on Election Day.
- x There were isolated incidents of violen, such as the one which occurred in Cabo de San Francisco in Muisne.

These problems did not tarnishetbivic process on September<sup>th</sup>3 However, they are issues that should addressed by the TSE to improve the administration of future elections.

The Carter Center also noted the constructive played by the arred forces and police during the electoral process. They provided urity and logisted support on Election Day to the TSE and offered information and to voters atoting sites. Their presence was considered neutral avelcomed by local stakeholders.

#### Post- Election

The Carter Center's targeted mission did monitor the electoral process through the announcement of the final, official resultishe complexity of the counting, tabulation and assignment of Constituent Assembly seats caused widespread confusion, large number of recounts and a lodglay-some six weeks- in announcing thelfinesults of the election. However, the counting and utation process took place in a climate of calm and the official results were widely exepted. No doubt this climate of calm was aided by the early refuse of the results of a reliebquick-count by the domestic observation group Citizre's Participation.

#### Towards the Future

The Carter Center believes that the Constitu

further develop representative democrately, guarantee the leu of law and the independence of all branches of governmend accountability mechanisms, and to guarantee the fundamentagints of Ecuadorians.

The Carter Center also believes that the Constituent Assemflets a common space to define a new social pathrough dialogue and comprome, which will generate the conditions for an effective fight against potye inequality and exclusion, and within a framework of democratic participation whe the common good takes precedence.

## **OBSERVERS**

QUITO
ALEJANDRO TOLEDO (Perú)
RODRIGO PARDO (Colombia)
JAIME APARICIO (Bolivia)
FRANCISCO DIEZ (Argentina)
JUAN CRISTOBAL SORUCO (Bolivia)

CUENCA
INES BURS (Argentina)
HEATHER THOMPSON (USA Lakota Sioux Nation)

GUAYAQUIL TOM LEGLER (Canada) RICARDO SEITENFUS (Brazil)

MANTA
HECTOR VANOLLI (Argentina)

TCC FIELD STAFF KELLY MCBRIDE (USA) ANDREA DURANGO (Ecuador) VERONICA GUZMAN (Ecuador) SARAH BELLAMY (USA)



# Carter Center Observes Democratic Consolidation in Ecuador

### 1 October 2007 FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE CONTACTS:

In Atlanta, Deborah Hakes, 404-420-5124 In Ecuador, Kelly McBride, 593-2-2231-990/991

Yesterday, Sept. 30, the Eclosian people demonstratebleir profound democratic vocation by voting peacefully for representatives to the constituent assembly.

#### Summary of Key Findings

The Carter Center congratulates the Ecuiadopeople for their civic commitment. We would like to particularly recognize the lecof the poll workers, who were mostly young people. Their creativity, honesty, and classention to the law made the election successful, despite the compity of the vote count.

The Carter Center also congratulatese Supreme Electoral Tribunal and its corresponding provincial bodies for the peoporganization of the elections in accordance with Ecuadorian law. We orgoize the constructiveole of the armed forces and the police, who provided security on Election Day, and offered information and orientation to voters at the voting sites.

The Center recognizes the importance of twork of Participación Ciudadana in performing the quick count and in shariliting results, both of which are essential elements for the transparenoxythe electoral process.

According to reports from Carter Center observers, who worked in close collaboration with the missions of the Organization American States (OAS) and the European Union (EU), the problems that occurred duringing did not affect the exercise of the rights of the citizens. These problems included:

There was inadequate information within the sites about where exactly to vote.

The absence of poll workers caused delaystainting the process some voting sites.

There was a poor distribution of space in some voting centers.

The Supreme Electoral Tribunal's coordinateseemed poorly prepared to resolve organizational and procedural precious in some voting sites.

Some candidates showed disrespect fer thectoral law by campaigning inside and nearby the voting sites on Election Day.

There were isolated incidents of violensech as the one which occurred in Cabo de San Francisco in Muisne.

These circumstances did not tarnish the civic process on Sunday, Sept. 30. However, they are issues that should be considered thou to be electoral system of the country.

The Carter Center stresses the maturieudet shown by the authorities and political leaders upon the release of the first partial official election reults. However, the Center insists that the onlyfficial results are those to be released by the Supreme Electoral Tribunal, in the established by law.

### The Campaign

During the campaign, Carter Center repressieves met with theoresident and vice president of the Republic, the president configress, dignitaries of the national and provincial governments, political leaders darandidates, members of civil society, and the media. The Center expresses its gurateit for their trust and their openness to the Center's observations about the process.

Fo	rmer U.S. F	President Jin	nmy Carter	Sends Con	gratulatory I	Message to	Ecuador's