



OBSERVING PRESIDENTIAL AND LEGISLATIVE ELECTIONS IN LIBERIA



*Final Report on the International Observation Delegations
Sponsored by the National Democratic Institute
and The Carter Center*

October - November 2005



The National Democratic Institute
The Carter Center

Observing the 2005 Liberia Elections
Final Report

October 11, 2005
November 8, 2005

NATIONAL DEMOCRATIC INSTITUTE

The National Democratic Institute (NDI) is a nonprofit organization working to strengthen and expand democracy worldwide. Calling on a global network of volunteer

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The National Democratic Institute (NDI) and The Carter Center would like to thank former President Nicéphore Soglo of Benin and former Vice President Alex Ekwueme of Nigeria, who, along with former U.S. President Jimmy Carter, led the international election observer delegations during the first and second rounds of the election. NDI and The Carter Center also extend their gratitude to all members of the observer delegations to Liberia during the 2005 electoral process, each of whom contributed to the success of the mission. The missions and printing of this report were funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). NDI and The Carter Center are grateful to USAID for its support.

NDI and The Carter Center also wish to express their deep appreciation to Liberian government officials, political and civic leaders, election commissioners, and poll workers who facilitated the work of the delegation. Most important, NDI and The Carter Center would like to thank the Liberian people for their warmth and hospitality.



International and domestic observers watch as Liberian voters are identified and receive ballots during the runoff election.

This publication was made possible through the support of the U.S. Agency for International Development Mission to Liberia, under the terms of USAID Associate Cooperative Agreement No. 669-A-00-05-00013-00 under Leader Cooperative Agreement No. DGC-A-00-01-00004-00. The opinions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Agency for International Development.



TABLE OF CONTENTS


EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	3
POLITICAL AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT	4
ELECTORAL FRAMEWORK	6
National Elections Commission	6
Electoral Reform Law of 2004	6
INTERNATIONAL OBSERVATION DELEGATIONS	8
PRE-ELECTION PHASE	10
Voter Education	10
Voter Registration	10
Exhibition of Voter Rolls	11
Political Parties	12
Candidate Nomination Process	12
Campaign Period	13
Campaign Finance	14
Media Coverage and Public Pronouncements	15
Legal Complaints and Appeals Process	15
FIRST ROUND ELECTION DAY	16
Voter Turnout	16
Security	17
Voting Process	17
Voter Assistance	18
Vote Counting	19
Tabulation and Announcement of Results	19
Complaints Process	19
PRE-RUNOFF PERIOD	21
Voter Education	21
Campaign Period	21
Media Coverage and Public Pronouncements	22
RUNOFF ELECTION DAY	23
Voter Turnout	23
Security	23
Voting Process	23
Vote Counting	25
Tabulation and Announcement of Results	25
Complaints Process	25
RIVERCESS BY-ELECTION	27
Voter Education	27
Campaign Period	27

Voter Turnout	27
Voting Process	28
Tabulation of Results	28
Complaints Process	28
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	29

recommendations offered by the NDI/Carter Center delegation, the NEC instated a number of changes to the voting procedures for the runoff, leading to a smoother process. Voter turnout for the runoff stood at 60 percent. NDI and The Carter Center fielded a 28-member international observation delegation during the runoff polling. On November 23, Ellen Johnson Sirleaf was declared president-elect with 59.4 percent of the vote.

Displeased with the results of the runoff election, the CDC filed complaints with the NEC alleging electoral fraud. After several weeks of hearings and investigation into the CDC's complaints, the NEC concluded that there was insufficient evidence of widespread fraud to call into question the results of the election; and the CDC decided not to pursue its claim through the courts. NDI and Carter Center staff in Liberia continued to monitor the electoral process during this time and were the only observers to monitor the process to its conclusion.

The 2005 national elections were a watershed moment in Liberia's history, and Liberians deserve credit for the high level of citizen participation, and the peaceful conduct and administration of the elections, which all bode well for the country's nascent democracy. However, for the country to achieve lasting peace and development over the long-term, Liberia's new leaders and its people must demonstrate a sustained commitment to credible elections and democratic governance.



Crowds of voters wait in line to vote on October 11.

POLITICAL AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Since gaining independence in 1847, Liberia's history has been characterized by human rights violations, outright denial of the rights of citizens to freely participate in the electoral process, and electoral fraud. Successive undemocratic governments fomented discontent that in the 1980s finally escalated into a military coup, violence, and civil war. Despite a temporary reprieve and multiparty elections in 1997, the country's transition to post-conflict democracy has been fraught with many challenges.

Liberia was founded in 1820 as a settlement for freed slaves from the United States of America. The freed slaves, known as Americo-Liberians, modeled the country's constitution and political institutions after those of the United States and governed the country uninterrupted for 130 years. For much of that time, political and economic power was limited to a small minority of Americo-Liberian men. Despite reforms introduced after 1950, the population suffered political marginalization and poverty, which eventually sparked violent demonstrations in 1979 over the price of rice.

In 1980, Master Sergeant Samuel Doe, an ethnic Krahn of native African descent, led a group of junior military officers in a coup against then-President William Tolbert, ending the long-serving Americo-Liberian oligarchy. Doe formed a People's Redemption Council (PRC), which sought to redistribute political and economic power to indigenous Liberians. Doe became popular with much of the population at the beginning of his term. However, he soon lost that good will as his leadership style turned increasingly authoritarian, and certain segments of the population continued to feel excluded from political and economic power.



Building projects abandoned during the civil war dot Liberia's countryside.

In December 1989, Charles Taylor (a former member of the Doe government) and a band of rebels formed the National Patriotic Front of Liberia (NPFL). The nts

In July 1997, in elections viewed by many as flawed, Liberians elected Charles Taylor president of Liberia. Many Liberians explained that they voted for him because they hoped that he would end the NPFL onslaught on Monrovia if he was elected. However, repressive rule and poor economic development continued under the Taylor regime, and in 1999 an armed insurgency--Liberians United for Reconciliation and Democracy (LURD)--invaded the country from the northern Liberia border. The LURD's advance towards Monrovia caused massive waves of population displacement and a deepening humanitarian crisis. A second rebel group--the Movement for Democracy in Liberia (MODEL)--split from the LURD in 2002 and opened a second offensive against the Taylor regime from the Southeast.

In the summer of 2003, a combination of factors, including domestic unrest and international pressure, brought Liberia to a crossroads. In early June, the United Nations-supported Special Court for Sierra Leone unsealed an indictment against Taylor, charging him with war crimes committed during Sierra Leone's civil war. At the same time, troops loyal to Taylor were unable to defend Monrovia as rebel forces closed in and threatened to seize the capital. Negotiations led by ECOWAS and the international community--represented by the International Contact Group on Liberia (ICGL)--ultimately led Taylor to step down on August 11, 2003, and accept asylum in Nigeria.

Taylor's departure paved the way for the signing of the Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA) in August 2003 in Accra, Ghana by representatives of Taylor's former government, armed militias (the LURD and MODEL), political parties, and civil society organizations. The CPA defined the structure and scope for a transition authority--the National Transitional Government of Liberia (NTGL)--that would guide the country toward elections in 2005, with the installation of an elected government by January 2006. Disputes arising within the NTGL were to be settled by mediation arranged by ECOWAS in conjunction with the United Nations, African Union, and ICGL. Representatives from the groups signing the CPA were given positions in the NTGL and the new 76-member National Transitional Legislative Assembly (NTLA).



In September 2003, the United Nations Security Council established the United Nations Mission in Liberia (UNMIL) to support the implementation of the ceasefire and peace process. UNMIL's mandate included providing assistance to the transitional government to prepare for the 2005 national elections. UNMIL's Electoral Division provided technical assistance to the NEC and assisted with logistical operations, and UNMIL troops provided security during the elections. UNMIL's support was essential to the peaceful conduct of the elections.

ELECTORAL FRAMEWORK

The CPA set the timetable for the 2005 presidential and legislative elections and called for the existing electoral system to be reformed in advance of the elections. It mandated the reconstitution of Liberia's Elections Commission as an independent body to oversee the elections process. The Agreement also called upon the United Nations, the African Union, and other members of the international community to facilitate the 2005 elections, as appropriate.

National Elections Commission

In accordance with the CPA, an independent elections management body--the NEC--was established to administer the 2005 elections in a manner that guaranteed the rights and interests of all Liberians. NEC commissioners were appointed by the Chairman

The electoral law provided for an absolute majority to determine the winners of presidential and vice presidential races, which meant that if no single candidate won an absolute majority in the first round, a runoff election was mandated between the top two vote-getters. For the legislative elections, each of Liberia's 15 counties was allocated

INTERNATIONAL OBSERVATION DELEGATIONS

NDI and The Carter Center worked together to observe Liberia's 2005 elections process through in-country staff, LTOs, a pre-election assessment mission, and international observer delegations. The observer delegations were conducted according to international standards for nonpartisan election observation--in particular with the Declaration of Principles for International Election Observers and accompanying Code of Conduct for International Election Observation--and in conformity with Liberian law.

From September 1 through 9, 2005, an international pre-election delegation assessed the political environment in Liberia in advance of the October 11 presidential and legislative elections.¹ The delegation met with a broad spectrum of Liberian political and civic leaders, government officials, electoral authorities, and representatives of the international community in Monrovia. In addition, the delegation traveled to Bomi, Bong, and Grand Bassa Counties,² where it was briefed by Liberians in those localities. In-country staff members and LTOs who visited all 15 counties in the months preceding the delegation also briefed the delegation.

Former President Nicéphore Soglo of Benin and former U.S. President Jimmy Carter discuss the findings of the NDI/Carter Center international observation delegation during the first round of elections.

NDI and The Carter Center fielded a 40-member multinational delegation during the first round of elections. The delegation was co-led by former U.S. President Jimmy Carter and former President Nicéphore Soglo of Benin. The delegation held meetings in Monrovia with: Gyude Bryant, Chairman of the NTGL; Frances Johnson-Morris, Chair of the NEC; Alan Doss, Special Representative of the UN Secretary-General; representatives of UNMIL; several presidential candidates and their representatives; leaders of the political parties contesting the elections; civic leaders, including leaders of domestic nonpartisan monitoring groups; and representatives of the international community. The delegation also met with the leadership of other international election observer delegations and worked closely with the European Union, IRI, ECOWAS, and the African Union.

NDI/Carter Center delegates deployed to 10 counties, observing the electoral process in Bomi, Bong, Grand Bassa, Grand Cape Mount, Grand Gedeh, Lofa, Margibi, Maryland, Montserrado, and Nimba. Due to the conditions of Liberia's roads, significant numbers of polling places could not be visited by the delegation. Meetings conducted in

¹ Members of all NDI/Carter Center delegations are listed in Appendix 4.

² A map of Liberia is attached as Appendix 1.

PRE-ELECTION PHASE

Conditions during the pre-election period impact the degree to which elections can be judged as credible. Monitoring the electoral environment in the months preceding the election provides an opportunity to assess preparations for election day and determine the extent to which candidates compete on a level playing field and citizens participate in the electoral process.

Voter Education

To encourage citizen participation and improve understanding of the electoral



Banners and signs were used to raise voter awareness across the country.

were women, and approximately 40 percent of the registrants were between 18 and 28 years old. The high voter registration demonstrated a commitment that a vast majority of Liberians, particularly women and young people, were interested in using ballots, not bullets, to determine the next leaders of the country.

In IDP camps, an estimated 61,000 Liberians registered to vote, with 71 percent planning to vote in their respective counties of origin. When it became clear that large numbers of those could not return home for election day, the NEC ruled that such persons would be allowed to vote for the president and vice president in their camps, but not for the county-based House and Senate seats. This decision was heralded as an effort to enfranchise as many voters as possible, although it was made very late in the electoral process and only after IDPs threatened to disrupt the electoral process.



A polling official checks a voter's identification card against the final registration roll on election day.

Also, to meet demands of a large number of Liberians living outside the country's borders, the NEC extended the voter registration deadline to June 4, 2005, for returning refugees. However, limited voter education in refugee camps, combined with refugees' uncertainty about the security of the country, contributed to rather low returning refugee registration. In many cases, the long distances that rural electorates had to travel to reach a registration center inhibited many returnees from registering.

Liberians who were rejected during the voter registration process had the opportunity to present proof of eligibility before NEC magistrates during the Determination of Objections phase in July 2005. Through this process, 184 voters were added to the voter rolls.

The NEC organized a two-week voter registration card replacement session in September 2005 for registered voters who misplaced their registration cards. To safeguard this process against double voting, NEC officials included a list of individuals who received replacement cards in the balloting materials provided to polling stations on election day. Further, the new cards were labeled "replacement" and differed in color from the original cards. The NEC issued over 3,000 replacement voter cards.

Exhibition of Voter Rolls

Following the month-long voter registration process, the provisional registration rolls were exhibited for voter verification. In order to stay within the elections calendar mandated by the CPA, the NEC shortened the exhibition period of the voter registry from five to three days. Nevertheless, from June 30 to July 2, more than 550,000 registrants turned out to confirm that their names were correctly listed on the roll. Approximately 8,000 corrections were made to the registration roll as a result of this

exercise, and more than 900 Liberians in possession of valid voter registration cards were processed and added to the registration roll.

Political Parties

Thirty political parties were registered in Liberia, and 21 parties and coalitions

presidential aspirants declared intentions to contest the October election, significantly fewer actually completed the registration process.

The candidacy requirements set by the NEC posed difficulties for some aspirants. For example, candidates were required to prove they had paid all taxes--a difficult task in a country in which the government barely functioned for several years preceding the elections. Some aspirants complained that the requirement that nomination applications be submitted to the NEC office in Monrovia put independent candidates for legislative races in remote counties at a disadvantage. Candidates were also required to open bank accounts in accordance with finance regulations; however, the only bank is in Monrovia. Nevertheless, by August 13, the NEC approved 762 candidates to contest the presidential and legislative elections. Those approved included 22 candidates for president, 22 for vice president, 205 for the Senate's 30 seats, and 513 for the House of Representatives' 64 seats. Of the total number of candidates, 110 were female, constituting 14 percent of contenders. Although the NEC Political Parties Guidelines called for 30 percent of candidate slots to be reserved for women, few women succeeded in winning nominations in the traditionally male-dominated politics of Liberia.

The NEC rejected five independent presidential aspirants, three vice presidential nominees, and nine contenders for the House of Representatives for failing to fulfill application requirements. Many of the rejected applicants' petitions to contest the elections lacked the requisite number of registered voters' signatures. Due to the short timeline between the nomination period and election day, there was no official appeals process for parties or independent aspirants whose candidacies were rejected.



Partisans of the Congress for Democratic Change rally in support of George Weah for president.

Some rejected candidates appealed to the Supreme Court to challenge the NEC's decisions, and the court ruled that several ineligible candidates should have been given an opportunity to correct deficiencies in their applications. Executing the

FIRST ROUND ELECTION DAY

Liberians went to the polls in massive numbers on October 11, 2005, and demonstrated their strong desire for peace and democratic governance. Following a remarkably peaceful and highly competitive electoral campaign, voting across the country was virtually violence-free, orderly, and well-administered. Polling agents worked diligently for long hours into the night. They appeared to be well-trained and, in the majority of cases, acted effectively, though there was a difference in administrative quality at some voting places. Whenever problems arose at polling sites, many polling officials sought to build consensus around corrective measures. Political party pollwatchers were present in impressive numbers around the country and worked cooperatively with polling agents to complete the process peacefully. Domestic election monitors also were present in significant numbers and played a constructive role.

The NEC faced several challenges organizing elections in a post-conflict environment. Roads damaged by war, neglect, and the rainy season, as well as a lack of electricity in many polling sites, created significant logistical difficulties. Long lines, complex balloting procedures, and a high rate of illiteracy created problems on election day. Nonetheless, most Liberians viewed the electoral process as positive. While several minor instances were noted in which procedures were not completely followed, NDI and Carter Center observers did not witness evidence of systematic fraud or problems that would materially affect the election results.

Voter Turnout

Election administrators, political parties, and voters faced extraordinarily difficult logistical conditions on election day. In rural areas, some voters complained of having to travel long distances to polling places. Roads were in disrepair because of years of war and therefore presented transport problems, which were compounded by heavy downpours during the rainy season. Approximately 10 percent of the electorate voted in polling places that were not accessible by road, requiring polling agents to trek for four days in some cases to deliver polling materials to points where they could not be transported by vehicle. Some of these were in areas difficult to reach even with the assistance of UNMIL helicopters. The NEC and UNMIL made extraordinary efforts to ensure delivery and collection of polling materials to and from these locations.



Voters wait in line for hours to vote on October 11.

Voter turnout on October 11, 2005, was impressive at 74.9 percent. Many voters arrived at the polls near midnight in advance of the scheduled 8:00 am opening time, and many others showed up at least three hours in advance. Thousands waited to vote in the sun in some places and in heavy rain in others, demonstrating their personal

commitment to peaceful elections. Young people and especially women participated in vast numbers as voters and election officials, political party pollwatchers, and nonpartisan election monitors.

Security

In a coordinated effort, UNMIL, UN Civilian Police, Liberian National Police

Senate ballots averaged 10 candidates per county. Sample ballots were prepared by the NEC to assist in voter education efforts; however, they were only delivered to the counties several weeks in advance of the election.



A voter casts her ballots on October 11.

Some voters asserted that they had been confused by a Supreme Court decision shortly before the elections regarding the procedure for voting for Senators. While NEC guidelines and the printed instructions on the Senate ballots instructed individuals to vote for a single candidate, the Court ruled a few days before election day that voters could mark two choices on their Senate ballot papers. This decision was announced belatedly by the Court, leaving little time for the NEC to do anything more than modify each ballot with a sticker explaining the revised instructions.

The NEC divided the 1,422 voting precincts across the country into multiple polling places in order to manage the high numbers of registered voters. Nonetheless, long lines formed in many polling stations due to high turnout and a slow voting process, particularly in the morning hours. Some polling sites opened late and numerous procedural safeguards put in place by the NEC, as well as a meticulous approach by

Vote Counting

After polls closed, polling agents counted the ballots at each polling site and posted provisional results for the public to witness. The counting process proceeded without major incidents in places observed by the joint NDI/Carter Center delegation, although observers noted that some polling agents failed to fill out all required forms correctly. Due to the high voter turnout and large number of candidates in each race, the count was slow in many places; polling officials counted the ballots by lantern light well into the night. Accredited observers and political party representatives watched the counting process, the posting of preliminary results at the precinct, and the subsequent transfer of voting materials to secure storage facilities. Transparency in the procedures helped to establish confidence in the provisional results.

Tabulation and Announcement of Results

The presiding officer for each polling site was responsible for communicating the results to the county tabulation center,

Hearings on complaints were open to accredited observers, but information about them was not widely disseminated in advance; and lax procedures for notifying candidates of hearing dates and times caused some parties to miss their appointments. Many of the complaints were based on misinterpretations of election procedures or law, and the NEC adjudicator ruled that some would be more appropriately handled by the criminal justice system. Overall, the adjudication procedures left some complainants feeling that their cases were not resolved satisfactorily. Regrettably, public statements by some political leaders and their supporters that called into question the declared results of the first round contributed to an atmosphere of distrust and suspicion during the runoff campaign.



Former U.S. President Jimmy Carter watches as election officials record the results in a polling precinct in Montserrado County.

PRE-RUNOFF PERIOD

None of the 22 candidates in the presidential race garnered the absolute majority of “50 percent plus one” of valid votes required to win the first round, resulting in a runoff election on November 8. The top two finishers from the first round presidential race were George Weah of the Congress for Democratic Change (CDC), with 28.3 percent of the vote, and Ellen Johnson Sirleaf of the Unity Party (UP), with 19.8 percent. The CDC won 15 seats in the House of Representatives and three in the Senate, while the UP won three seats in the House of Representatives and eight in the Senate.

Voter Education

In advance of the second round, the NEC and UNMIL initiated an extensive, nationwide voter education campaign aimed at providing voters with the basic information needed to participate effectively in the election, including notification of the date, locations of polling sites, voter registration card requirements, and how to mark the ballot. The NEC and UNMIL distributed sample ballots and other educational materials to NEC county offices, played jingles in local dialects on the radio, and distributed flyers and stickers.

Many observers were told that some Liberians did not understand the rationale for a runoff election. Liberian civic and community-based organizations used radio, town meetings, and group discussions to explain the importance of the runoff. Voter education efforts also sought to encourage voters to participate in the second round election even if their first round candidate of choice was no longer in the race.



Voter education banners hang on a fence.

Campaign Period

The campaign period for the presidential runoff officially began on October 27, 2005, in accordance with the electoral timetable. During the runoff period, the campaigns of both candidates were largely peaceful. Political intimidation was not apparent, though a number of isolated incidents were reported. The campaign period seemed much more active than the first round, however, and voters noted an increasingly tense environment.

The two candidates campaigned widely, focusing on the more contested and populous counties of Montserrado, Nimba, Lofa, Grand Bassa, and Bong. Issues raised during the runoff campaign included the need to address the marginalization of many young Liberians; improving education; and building government competency, integrity, and capacity to deliver services to the Liberian population. Both candidates called on

their supporters to campaign peaceably, avoid divisive politics, and accept the outcome of the election.

Weah and Sirleaf sought the endorsements of former presidential hopefuls, other political parties, civic groups, and opinion leaders. Six of the first round presidential candidates endorsed Weah, while four others endorsed Sirleaf. Many Liberian voters, however, expressed frustration with the endorsements of their party leaders and did not necessarily follow those endorsements in choosing their preferred candidate in the runoff election.

As the campaign drew to a close, more incidents were reported of civic and community-based organizations, government figures, and media outlets engaging in partisan activities. Political rhetoric became increasingly inflammatory in the final days of the campaign period, raising concerns of election-related violence. However, the campaign period for the second round of election ended on November 6 without any major incidences.



Campaign posters for Ellen Johnson Sirleaf and George Weah, the two runoff candidates.

Media Coverage and Public Pronouncements

While only a few media outlets appeared biased in the first round, media coverage during the runoff was partisan, divisive, and in a few cases inflammatory, in violation of the provisions of the PUL code of conduct. To curb these excesses, the

RUNOFF ELECTION DAY

Liberian voters returned to the polls on November 8, 2005, for the runoff election. Overall, election day was calm and peaceful with few reported incidents of violence.

The NEC also provided more training to presiding officers on record-keeping at the polling stations, as well as counting and tabulation procedures. At polling precincts and tabulation centers, most NEC staff appeared to understand procedures more fully than was the case during the first round. The improved level of professionalism and increased competence of polling agents contributed to a much smoother and more efficient voting process.

In a few cases, the delegation noted inconsistencies in polling place administration. For example, some polling agents voted before the polls opened rather than at the end of the day as stipulated in the revised election procedures; the procedure for reconciling ballots at the end of the day was not followed in a few instances; the numbers of ballots received were not always registered on the presiding officer's worksheet; and the number of party representatives allowed in polling places varied from site to site. Observers were informed that in a number of polling sites, larger sample ballots posted in ballot booths bore marks indicating the choice of a candidate, though in all cases these ballots were immediately removed as soon as the attention of the polling officer was drawn to them.



Polling officials count runoff ballots in Tapita, Nimba County.

The delegation reported several instances in which polling agents complained about not receiving the five dollar daily allowance for their work on election day. In several cases, polling workers delayed the opening of the polls in protest or threatened to delay the count until they were paid. In one instance, observers were told that the disappearance of allocated funds led to the arrest of a Liberian UNMIL staff member later charged with misappropriation of funds. The NDI/Carter Center delegation highlighted the obligation to pay the pollworkers in its statement.

Both CDC and UP representatives were present in nearly all polling places visited by the NDI/Carter Center delegation and actively monitored all election day activities. In some cases, observers met representatives from parties that had lost in the first round. Commendable efforts were made to recruit and train hundreds of new domestic observers, including a significant number of physically disabled individuals, although their presence at polling sites was less visible during the runoff compared to the first round election.

A feud between NEC Chair Frances Johnson-Morris and presidential aspirant George Weah on election day garnered much media attention. The NEC Chair called Weah “reckless” after he claimed that he had actually won more than 50 percent of the vote in the first round; and he responded by accusing the NEC of bias. Regrettably, the

NEC's intemperate response was unhelpful, and the dispute contributed to an atmosphere of distrust and suspicion as the results began rolling in.

Vote Counting

Counting of ballots proceeded quickly following the runoff election, as there was only one race with two candidates to choose from. The reconciliation and counting process was simplified by the consolidation of the presiding officer's worksheet and record of the count into one form.

Tabulation and Announcement of Results

At many tabulation centers observed by delegation members, the process was smoother and quicker than in the first round. While there were a few cases in which tabulation procedures did not appear to be followed appropriately, the posting of results at the polling stations, as well as at the tabulation centers, contributed to enhancing public confidence in the results.

On November 23, 2005, the NEC announced official election results and declared

Complaints Process

A number of electoral challenges were filed following the runoff, including complaints

According to the NEC Regulations on Complaints and Appeals, for a post-election

accept the results of the runoff election and suspend the party's complaints.


In contrast to the relatively peaceful electoral period, incidents of intimidation and violence increased following the announcement of runoff results. In one instance, members and supporters of the CDC marched from the party's headquarters in Congo Town to the American embassy in Mamba Point in what was seen by many observers and analysts as a threat to public safety when newly elected leaders had not yet been sworn in. After several days of protests and disrupted traffic in Monrovia, the Ministry of Justice banned public demonstrations. Allegedly, threats of violence were made against some political figures and journalists, and looting and violence occurred in the wake of a public statement by Weah. Concerns were also expressed that slanted media coverage of the election complaint hearing

29e02 Tc 0c91s0 Tc 0 1.15 Td(v)Tj-0.0002

Voting Process

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

With the inauguration of the newly-elected president, vice president, and legislature in January 2006, Liberia is now poised to turn an historic corner toward sustainable peace and democratic governance. By their actions, Liberians sent a strong message that the country must turn its back on war and autocratic rule.



Liberian voters wait in line to vote on October 11.

The 2005 elections were the most competitive elections in Liberia's history, with a multiplicity of viable candidates vying for office. Following a remarkably peaceful and highly competitive electoral campaign, voting across the country was violence-free, orderly, and well-administered, despite the massive logistical difficulties associated with holding elections in Liberia's post-conflict environment. However, elections must be viewed as only one step in ensuring democratic governance.

Through election observer delegations, NDI and The Carter Center sought to demonstrate international support for Liberia's democratic process and to provide Liberians and the international community with an impartial and accurate assessment of the electoral process and the political environment surrounding it. Ultimately, however, it is the people of Liberia who, as citizens and voters, will determine the credibility of their elections and the legitimacy that its new leaders have derived from them.

In the spirit of international cooperation and in recognition of Liberians' asserted desire for peace and democratic rule, NDI and The Carter Center provide the following recommendations:


- Liberian youth and women are to be commended for their active participation in these transitional elections. They should be encouraged to remain engaged in the democratic process as an opportunity to make their voices heard. In particular, the delegations urge the NEC and Liberian political parties to continue including women as polling officers, candidates, and party representatives in future elections.
- UNMIL provided substantial technical, operational, and logistical support to the NEC, which increased the Commission's capacity to conduct these elections. The delegation hopes that steps will be taken to further transfer skills and technological infrastructure to Liberians in a sustainable manner to ensure that future elections can be conducted credibly by Liberians themselves.
- The NEC and civil society organizations should mount massive voter education campaigns well in advance of future elections.

- To build public confidence in the impartiality of the electoral complaint process, the NEC should outline and publicize its methodology for resolving election-related complaints. The NEC timeline should establish specific deadlines for the filing of complaints to ensure that electoral challenges are not used as a pretext to disrupt the electoral process. Sufficient resources should be dedicated to the NEC complaint process to ensure that all complaints are processed impartially, on an expedited basis, and with adequate transparency in accordance with due process requirements and equality before the law.
- The complaints and appeals process could be improved to provide for more transparency. For example, the times and locations of future hearings should be clearly communicated to all accredited parties and observers, with documentation readily available. Rulings should be announced as quickly as possible, with immediate redress and effective remedies provided as appropriate.
- Political leaders and candidates should refrain from inflammatory rhetoric that could undermine the country's nascent and thus fragile democratic institutions.
- The media should provide accurate and balanced reporting on the electoral process, and should refrain from biased reporting that could be inflammatory or that may provoke violence.
- The international community should increase its support to Liberia in the medium to longer term to help consolidate nascent democratic institutions and practices.



Polling officials count ballots by lantern light on October 11.

MAP OF LIBERIA



*Map of Liberia, 2004. Central Intelligence Agency. Perry-Castañeda Library Map Collection. The University of Texas at Austin. < <http://www.lib.utexas.edu/maps/liberia.html>>.



THE CARTER CENTER



**STATEMENT OF THE NDI/CARTER CENTER
PRE-ELECTION DELEGATION TO LIBERIA'S 2005 ELECTIONS**

Monrovia, September 9, 2005

This statement is offered by an international pre-election delegation to Liberia, organized jointly by the National Democratic Institute (NDI) and The Carter Center. From September 1 through 9, 2005, the delegation assessed the political environment in Liberia in advance of the October 11 presidential and legislative elections. The delegation met with a broad spectrum of Liberian political and civic leaders, government officials, electoral authorities and representatives of the international community in Monrovia. In addition, the delegation traveled outside Monrovia to Bomi, Bong and Grand Bassa Counties, and was informed by in-country staff members and long-term observers who have visited all 15 counties in recent months.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Presidential and legislative elections scheduled for October 11, 2005, offer the people of Liberia an opportunity to further overcome a history of civil conflict and authoritarian rule. The establishment of democratic governance offers the best hope, and a difficult challenge, for attaining sustained peace and development in the country. The elections can be an important step in that direction.

The electoral environment is marked by impressive positive factors.

guarantee security around the elections, specifically the roles of the Liberian National Police (LNP) and coordinated efforts with United Nations Mission in

senior members of the National Transitional Government of Liberia (NTGL), including Chairman Gyude Bryant, senior ministers, the Speaker and the Deputy Speaker of the National Transitional Legislative Assembly (NTLA), and the Chief Justice, from running for office. The NTLA passed an electoral reform bill in December 2004.

In accordance with the CPA the election law suspended certain aspects of the Liberian Constitution, including bypassing a ten-year residency requirement for presidential candidates and a requirement for a national census and redistricting before elections. The December 2004 Electoral Reform Law dictates that a majority system will be used to determine the outcome of presidential and legislative elections. Each of Liberia's 15 counties has been allocated two seats in the House of Representatives, with 34 additional seats distributed to the counties according to the number of voters registered. Each county will also have two Senators. For the presidential race, a run-off election will be held between the top two vote-getters if no single candidate wins an absolute majority in the first round. The election law also specifies the requirements for proving voter eligibility, outlines registration and voting procedures for refugees and internally displaced persons (IDPs) and set limits on campaign expenditures.

The NEC has since streamlined elections procedures to conform to the CPA timeline. For example, Liberians will not be allowed to register to vote on election day. In addition, the NEC serves as the primary adjudicator of electoral disputes, with appeals referred directly to the Supreme Court.

Voter Registration: Voter registration took place in Liberia from April 25 to May 21. According to official NEC figures, approximately 1.3 million of an estimated 1.5 million eligible voters registered, with equal representation by men and women. Significantly, an estimated 61,000 IDPs registered in the camps and 71 percent intend to vote in their respective counties of origin. In general, observers considered the exercise a success despite significant challenges. For example, IRI, in collaboration with NDI and The Carter Center, fielded a pre-election assessment delegation during the voter registration period and reported that while the process was technically sound and relatively peaceful, insufficient voter education and difficult logistics and in-country travel impeded turnout early in the process.

The NEC has organized a two-week voter card replacement session from September 17 to 30 for people who have lost their cards. During this session, these cards will be issued only to individuals whose names are already on the voter registration list, they will contain the label "replacement" and differ in color from the regular registration cards. In order to ensure that polling station officials employ safeguards against illegal voting, NEC officials will include in the balloting materials a list of all individuals for that polling place who received replacement cards. Once a replacement card is issued, a citizen cannot use his or her original voter card to vote; they will be required to present their replacement card before they will be allowed to vote.

III. OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Security

The campaign season started on a relatively peaceful note, although a few minor infractions raised concerns about the potential for violence during the election period. Minor confrontations occurred between rival groups, including fistfights among some supporters of different parties. The Ministry of Justice, NEC and political parties restarted a long-standing dialogue to coordinate rallies and other campaign events in order to prevent further clashes between party supporters. NEC county officials and branches of political parties successfully coordinate events at the local level, although it is not clear whether a resolution has been reached at the national level.

The delegation was encouraged to learn that a security plan for election day is under development by a coordinated effort among UNMIL, UN Civilian Police and the relevant ministries of the Government of Liberia. Two security agents of a coordinated force that includes the LNP will be posted at each voting precinct to maintain order, with mobile UNMIL troops and approximately 600 Civilian Police on duty throughout the country. These security agencies will be responsible for providing security during the polling and counting.

Despite these optimistic signs, there remains the risk of violence that could disrupt the electoral process. The UN-sponsored disarmament, demobilization, reintegration and rehabilitation (DDRR) process has suffered setbacks and left some ex-combatants frustrated. UNMIL reports indicate that small weapons remain accessible in some areas of the country. In addition, IDPs have threatened to burn their voter registration cards to protest the lack of further support to facilitate their return to their home counties. They are also unhappy with a rumored proposal that they will only be able to cast a presidential ballot if they remain in the camps.

Efforts should be made to inform the public of the nature of the security plan and specifically the roles of the LNP and the coordinated efforts with UNMIL and others. Greater public understanding of these plans for electoral security will encourage electoral participation and may serve as a deterrent to those who might use violence as a political tool.

Recommendations: The international community should make every effort to conclude the DDRR process. The NEC should consider all options to enfranchise as many IDPs as possible. All Liberians should redouble their commitment to peaceful elections, and work to ensure that the remaining weeks of the political campaigns are conducted peacefully. Liberians must refrain from any intimidation or violence during the campaign period, on election day and the days following.

Election Administration

Almost everyone with whom the delegation met expressed general satisfaction with, and were encouraged by, the NEC's administration of the election process so far,

Tabulation and Announcement of Results

Parties expressed frustration with the decision to limit the number of tally sheet copies

With so many registered political parties participating in the elections and a centralized system with limited resources to disseminate messages, many voters are confused as to the differences among the parties. On the other hand, national and county debates for the presidential and legislative elections have given citizens the opportunity to raise issues in public fora and for candidates to articulate their positions on matters of national interest. Audiotapes are distributed to community radio stations to provide greater access to information in rural areas. These are positive developments.

The “Political Parties’ Code of Conduct,” which was signed by all of the political parties, is a positive sign of their commitment to uphold a transparent process and refrain from intimidation and violence. This voluntary code of conduct is the first of its kind in Liberia. It indicates parties’ willingness to respect the process and demonstrates a commitment to work together peacefully. The delegation was encouraged to learn that although the national code has not been well-publicized, NEC officials and party representatives in at least two counties have agreed, on their own initiative, to locally-written codes of conduct.

Recommendations: Without provisions to allow voters to make informed choices among the electoral contestants, elections are hollow exercises. Expanding candidate debates and encouraging broad participation in them at the national and local levels should be a priority. Efforts should be made by candidates to better communicate their messages to citizens in rural areas. In addition, national parties should increase efforts to communicate with the party branches regarding campaign plans. Party leaders should continue to educate their supporters at the county and district levels regarding the Code of Conduct.

Electoral Complaint Mechanisms

To ensure that elections take place according to the tight CPA timetable, the NEC has attempted to streamline the complaint process. All complaints must be filed at the NEC county magistrates’ offices or with the national headquarters. When a decision is reached by a county magistrate, aggrieved parties have the right to file an appeal with the NEC headquarters in Monrovia. One NEC Commissioner will hear the case and recommend a solution to the full NEC board, who can vote to endorse the recommendation or request the case be brought to the entire commission. Citizens then have the right to file a final appeal to the Supreme Court.

While many Liberians support the NEC’s adherence to the timetable set out in the CPA, the delegation noted the absence of clear and well-publicized complaint mechanisms which could lead to a crisis of confidence in the impartiality of the NEC. To date, several aggrieved parties have registered complaints with the Supreme Court following the NEC’s ruling on various issues. With a crowded political field and numerous post-war challenges, clearly delineated and well-explained complaint mechanisms are vital to a peaceful and accepted outcome.

Recommendations: The delegation encourages the NEC to outline the methodology used for decision-making to build public confidence in the impartiality of the electoral complaint process. A timeline should be established with specific deadlines for the filing of complaints to ensure that the dispute resolution mechanisms are not used to disrupt the electoral process. Sufficient resources should be devoted to ensure impartial and expeditious resolution of electoral complaints consistent with due process requirements and equality before the law.

IV. CONTACT INFORMATION

For further information, please contact: in Monrovia, Sidi Diawara at +231 06 561 657 and Ashley Barr at +231 06 452 022; in Atlanta, Jon Moor at +1 404 420 5107; in Washington, Jean Freedberg at +1 202 728 5527.

The crucial vote tabulation process remains to be completed, and any formal electoral complaints that may be lodged must be processed fairly and expeditiously. Patience as well as vigilance to ensure confidence in the results therefore are needed.

Should a runoff be required, massive voter education must be conducted. That campaign should address the reasons for a runoff as well as voting procedures and the nature of the political choice being presented. A major problem identified in the October 11 poll was the widespread need for voter assistance due to the lack of understanding of voting procedures and in many cases a lack of understanding concerning the nature of the choice among the candidates.

It is critically important that all of the stakeholders – government and electoral officials, candidates and political, religious and civic leaders – act responsibly in the days immediately ahead to ensure that the process remains on track. There are serious challenges to face in meeting this goal, and the international community should do everything possible to provide its continued assistance.

As the process unfolds, those who appear to be the winners of these elections should be magnanimous, while those who do not win the right to advance to the potential presidential runoff or to seats in the legislature should be gracious in accepting credible results. At the same time, all sectors of Liberian society should join in embracing the difficult national challenge of establishing inclusive, democratic governance. This is all the more important because some of the factors that contributed to conflict and frailty of the Liberian state still exist and will continue to challenge society as it moves beyond the elections.

Recommendations are offered at the end of this statement.

II. OBSERVATIONS

In order to accurately characterize an election process, all of its aspects must be considered. At this juncture, the National Elections Commission (NEC) is still in the early stages of tabulating results, having announced approximately 10 percent as of 11:00 am today. Plus, the electoral complaints and appeals process is incomplete. It is therefore impossible to draw any conclusions about the overall character of the election process at this time.

A. Electoral Framework

The CPA. In the summer of 2003, a combination of armed insurgency and international pressure brought Liberia to a crossroads. On August 11, then-President Charles Taylor

the United Nations Mission in Liberia (UNMIL), and the Secretary-General appointed a Special Representative in Liberia.

The CPA defined the structure and scope for a transition authority – the National Transitional Government of Liberia (NTGL) – that would guide the country to elections in October 2005 and the installation of an elected government by January 2006. It suspended parts of Liberia’s constitution until an elected government is installed. It also established a mediation process, whereby the international community would settle disputes within the NTGL concerning application or interpretation of the CPA. ECOWAS took the lead in this, and General Abubakar of Nigeria remained the Chief Mediator.

The CPA signatories chose Gyude Bryant, a business leader and member of the Liberian Action Party (LAP), to serve as Chairman of the NTGL. The signatories also negotiated for themselves positions in the NTGL and the 76-member National Transitional Legislative Assembly (NTLA). Faced with the daunting task of resuscitating state institutions that had been decimated during Liberia’s civil conflicts, and simultaneously implementing the CPA and ceasefire, the NTGL has been responsible for promoting reconciliation and supporting the preparation and conduct of the 2005 elections.

Legal Framework for Elections. The elections are being conducted under the framework of the CPA. While the CPA set the timetable for elections and a number of other elements regarding the electoral process, it left unresolved a number of procedural issues related to the elections. The NEC drafted and the NTLA passed after three months of delay the Electoral Reform Law of December 2004. The NEC set forth a number of regulations, guidelines and handbooks to fill in the electoral framework. Elements of the Constitution not suspended by the CPA also make up the legal framework for the 2005 elections.

The legal framework compares favorably to international practice for democratic elections. Campaign finance regulations, for example, are among the most comprehensive on the continent, though they are difficult to implement in Liberian conditions. Provisions concerning voting for persons with physical disabilities are also highly developed, which is particularly relevant in societies that have suffered armed conflict. One problematic area concerns ambiguities in the processing and resolution of electoral disputes and challenges of election results.

B. Election Administration

The NEC enjoys a remarkable level of public confidence. Political party

The NEC addressed the need for new election districts, organized the voter registration process (which was the focus of a pre-election delegation organized by the International Republican Institute (IRI), in which NDI and The Carter Center participated), conducted voter education, which was also carried out by numerous NGOs, administered candidate qualification, organized production and distribution of electoral materials, and trained approximately 18,000 polling officials for the 3,070 voting precincts around the country.

A number of concerns were expressed about the extraordinarily difficult logistical conditions faced by election administrators, political parties and voters. Roads ravaged by years of war presented transport problems, which were compounded by a difficult rainy season. For example, approximately 10 percent of the electorate votes are in voting places that are one hour to four days walk from the last point where polling materials can be transported by vehicle. Some of these are in areas that are difficult to reach even with the assistance of UNMIL helicopters. The NEC and UNMIL put forth extraordinary efforts to ensure delivery of polling materials to these locations.

Questions were raised about the number of ballots printed and ballot security. The NEC introduced transparency into the ballot production and distribution process and offered specific formulas for the number of ballots to be delivered to voting places. It also explained various ballot security measures, but it did not satisfy all questions raised by candidates, civil society leaders and some international observers.

Electoral Districts. The entire country serves as an electoral district for the direct election of the President of the Republic. To assume this office a person must win 50 percent plus one vote on election day, or the top two vote-getters face each other in a runoff election on the second Tuesday after the announcement of the final official electoral results. It is anticipated that the runoff date would be November 8, should one be deemed necessary.

Each of Liberia's 15 counties serves as an election district for the choice of two Senators per county (for a total of 30 Senators). Two Representatives were allocated to each county and the remaining 34 were apportioned among them according to the number of registered voters (in the absence of reliable census data), with a maximum of 14 seats awarded to any county. Sixty-four electoral districts were established for the 2005 elections with input of registered political parties and without significant controversy, even though there is a large disparity in the ratio of constituents to representative among various counties.

Voter Registration. Liberians registered to vote in extraordinary numbers, particularly in light of the difficult logistical circumstances in the country. Approximately, 1.35 million people registered to vote out of an estimated 1.5 million eligible persons (approximately 90 percent). Half of the registrants were women, and approximately 40 percent of the registrants were between 18 and 28 years old. This demonstrates a determination among the population – particularly among women and young people – to turn away from using violence to determine who will hold governmental power.

Among the internally displaced persons (IDPs) located in camps, 71 percent chose at

complained that they lacked adequate resources to inform voters about their candidates.

A significant number of concerns were raised by political contestants, organizations conducting voter education and others noting that it was not possible to conduct voter education in numerous inaccessible localities. Poverty and a high incidence of illiteracy compounded the challenge. An estimated 75 to 85 percent of the electorate cannot read. Radio is the mass media with the largest reach, but many Liberians cannot afford radios. Programs with personal contact therefore played an important voter education role and large-scale programs were conducted. However, in the run-up to the elections, many raised concerns about the adequacy of voter education, including the last minute need to prepare for voters to mark up to two choices among the Senate candidates in the counties' ballots.

D. The Campaign

As noted in the Carter Center/NDI September 9 pre-election delegation statement, most of Liberia's political parties have developed around the personalities of key leaders, rather than on the basis of policies, issues and party platforms. Party organization is centralized within Monrovia and very few parties have sufficient resources or organizational structures to campaign at the grassroots level. Inaccessibility issues further hamper party branches' ability to communicate with party leaders. Nonetheless, an active campaign was waged by the parties and candidates. Freedoms of expression and movement were respected, as candidates could campaign where they wanted, subject to the logistical difficulties in moving about the country.

Parties and candidates reported that the political playing field was generally level, and they were free of state interference in campaigning. The campaign period, while not free of incidents, was peaceful. The Ministry of Justice, Nêheequat-7(arties and otnot)-he cam

██████████

Money and Politics. The NEC's Campaign Finance Guidelines are comprehensive

am opening time, and others showed up at least three hours in advance. Thousands waited to vote in the sun in some places and in heavy rain in others, demonstrating their personal commitment to peaceful elections.

Young people and women showed a special determination to participate and achieve peaceful elections. Women and young people of both genders participated in vast numbers as voters and election officials, political party pollwatchers and as nonpartisan election monitors.

Polling officials worked diligently for long hours into the night. Officials seemed well trained, and in the majority of cases acted effectively, though there was a difference in quality at some voting places. To overcome a number of practical problems, many polling officials sought to build consensus around adaptive measures. Political party agents were present in impressive numbers around the country and worked cooperatively to complete the process peacefully. Domestic election monitors also were present in significant numbers and played a constructive role.

Voting Process. The long lines and the slowness of voting, particularly in the morning hours, were exacerbated by late openings of many polls. The numerous procedural safeguards put in place by the NEC and a meticulous approach by many polling officials added to the slowness of the process. The consequence was severe crowding in many places that at times led to tension requiring action by security forces. This dynamic was diminished as voting proceeded and the NEC issued a revision of the procedures to speed up the process. By late in the afternoon few such problems were observed.

Illiteracy and other factors also contributed to a slow voting process. Large numbers of voters across the country seemed not to understand how or for whom to vote. Even after the initial instruction was provided to them by poll workers, many voters required further assistance in deciding which candidate to vote for. Presiding Officers helped them, but this raised questions concerning ballot secrecy and opened possibilities for some officials to influence voters' choices. It appeared that voter education efforts were insufficient to adequately prepare such voters.

The security plan developed by the NEC, involving the Liberian National Police (LNP) and UNMIL forces, placed teams at polling precincts across the country. This helped to provide a sense of security, as well as helping to maintain order over the course of election day and election night.

The Count. The counting process proceeded without major incidents in places observed by this delegation. The count was slow in many places. Transparency in the procedures helped to establish confidence, and party agents and observers were able to monitor the process.

G. Tabulation and Electoral Complaint Resolution

The tabulation process has begun slowly. The late finish and logistical difficulties delayed the transport of results to the county tabulation centers in many instances. Transport was done under protection of the LNP and UNMIL forces. The NEC projected on October 12 that the tabulation process, which will consolidate results from the county tabulation centers at the NEC, would take between three and seven days to complete. As of 11:00 am, October 13, 278 out of the 3,070 polling places had been tabulated, comprising approximately 10 percent of the vote.

Accredited political party representatives, domestic and international observers and journalists will be able witness the tallying at the county level. The NEC will announce progressive, cumulative results. It will make available results from each individual polling place, and it has noted that those results were made available at the polling places. Providing access to such information at the national level will allow parties and candidates to compare copies of results from polling places to the national tabulation. This could be an important confidence building measure.

The NEC has required that complaints regarding polling or counting irregularities be filed at the polling place and then with the county magistrate within 24 hours of the event. Other types of complaints should be submitted to the NEC within 72 hours of the event. According to one interpretation of the law this must be done within five days of the election. In light of the current pace of tabulation, this could be a cause for concern. There has been confusion and some ambiguity in the complaints and appeals processes in the pre-election period, and there are possibilities for this to occur in the post-election period as well. The complaints process could take as many as 30 days if the maximum time is used, which could jeopardize holding a runoff in accordance with the timeframe of the CPA and the Election Law. In addition, appeals may be lodged with the Supreme Court regarding NEC decisions on complaints and electoral challenges, which can be filed only after results are finalized. An expedited process leading to fair complaint resolution will be required at the NEC and Supreme Court to keep within the CPA timeframe.

III. THE DELEGATION AND ITS WORK

The delegation arrived in Monrovia on Wednesday, October 5, and held a series of intensive meetings with Gyude Bryant, Chairman of the NTGL, Frances Johnson-Morris, Chair of the NEC, Alan Doss, Special Representative of the UN Secretary-General and other representatives of UNMIL, several presidential candidates and their representatives, leaders of the political parties contesting the elections, civic leaders including leaders of domestic nonpartisan monitoring groups and representatives of the international community. The delegation also met with the leadership of other international election observer delegations and worked closely with the European Union and the International Republican Institute.

Civil Society. Nonpartisan domestic organizations should continue their efforts to impartially and accurately report on tabulation process and the handling of any electoral complaints and challenges in a timely manner.

International Community. International community should persist in assisting Liberian efforts in conducting a credible electoral process as appropriate.

If a Run off Is Required

National Elections Commission. 1) NEC and UNMIL and their domestic and international partners should redouble their efforts to provide voters with the information required for them to effectively participate in the voting process. The mass communications media including community radio stations should be employed in a nationwide voter education campaign. 2) NEC should instruct Presiding Officers that in instances where voters require assistance every effort must be made to ensure that the voters' choice is not influenced and secrecy of the ballot is maintained whenever possible. 3) NEC and UNMIL should continue the practice of regularly informing contestants and the public about administrative decisions and should continue its open attitude towards domestic and international observation.

Political Parties and Contestants. The candidates, in addition to vigorously pursuing support of voters, should proceed in the same spirit of tolerance and fair competition as was exhibited in the first round.

Civil Society. 1) Civil society organizations should mount a massive voter education campaign using the full range of methods including the mass media and personal contact. 2) Groups involved in nonpartisan election monitoring should extend their activities through the run-off and post election period.

International Community. The international community should make sufficient resources available so that, if necessary, a runoff election can be completed in accordance with international standards in a manner that leads Liberians to believe in the credibility of the election.

V. CONCLUSION

This has been the most competitive election in Liberia's history, with a variety of viable candidates vying for each office. Dedicated peace-building efforts by Liberians helped set the stage for these elections. Those efforts must now be reinforced by the country's political and civic leaders as well as those concerned in the international community. By their actions, Liberians have sent a clear message that the country must turn its back on war. Completing the electoral process in a manner that ensures the results accurately



THE CARTER CENTER



**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT OF THE NDI / CARTER CENTER
INTERNATIONAL OBSERVER DELEGATION TO THE
LIBERIAN PRESIDENTIAL RUNOFF ELECTION**

Monrovia, November 10, 2005

This statement is offered by the 28-member multinational delegation jointly organized by NDI and The Carter Center. The delegation was co-led by His Excellency Dr. Alex Ekwueme, former Vice-President of the Republic of Nigeria; Dr. Christopher Fomunyoh, NDI's Senior Associate for Africa; and Dr. David Carroll, Director of the Democracy Program at The Carter Center. The delegation included elected officials, electoral and human rights experts, regional specialists and political and civic leaders from Africa, Europe and North America.

The purposes of the delegation were to demonstrate international support for Liberia's democratic process and to provide Liberians and the international community with an impartial and accurate assessment of the electoral process and the political environment surrounding it. The delegation conducted its activities in accordance with Liberian law and the Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA), as well as the *Declaration of Principles for International Election Observation*. NDI and The Carter Center recognize that ultimately it is the people of Liberia who will determine the credibility of the election process and do not seek to interfere in the process.

The delegation stresses that the tabulation of results is still underway and that this is a preliminary statement. It should be viewed in the context of earlier statements on the October 11 elections and the lead up to it. Further statements may be released and a final report will follow after the election process is completed. All reports concerning the Liberian election process can be found at either

activities. In some cases, observers met representatives from supporters of parties that had lost in the first round. Although there appeared to be fewer domestic election observers for the second round, commendable efforts were made to recruit and train hundreds of observers, including a significant number of physically disabled individuals.

Tabulation. At many tabulation centers observed by delegation members, the process was smoother and quicker than for the first round. However, there were some cases where procedures did not appear to be followed appropriately.

B. Problems and Irregularities

While the NDI/Carter Center overall assessment is generally positive, the delegation noted the following problems and irregularities:

Inconsistencies in Polling Place Administration. The delegation noted: voting by some polling staff before the polls opened rather than at the end of the day as stipulated in the revised election procedures; the procedure for reconciling ballots at the end of the day was not followed in a few instances; numbers of ballots received were not always registered on the presiding officer's combined worksheet and record of the count at the beginning of polling as required; and a lack of clarity over the number of party representatives allowed to observe the process in each polling place. In addition, observers were informed that larger sample ballots posted in ballot booths were in some instances marked indicating the choice of a candidate, though when polling officials were informed these ballots were immediately removed.

Polling Staff Remuneration. The delegation reported several instances where polling staff informed us they had not received their five dollars' daily allowance for the October 11 elections, or on November 8. In several cases, polling workers delayed the opening of the polls in protest. In one instance, the observers were told that disappearance of allocated funds led to the arrest of a Liberian UNMIL staff member who has been charged with misappropriation of funds.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS

To demonstrate its support for Liberians' self-proclaimed desire for peaceful and democratic rule, and in the spirit of international cooperation, the delegation offers the following recommendations:

- Liberians should allow the election process to continue in an orderly fashion, and should refrain from prejudging the outcome of this historic election. We urge restraint by political parties, election officials and party supporters during this-11Ahcrrestra

community should increase its support to Liberia in the medium to longer term to help consolidate nascent democratic institutions and practices.

III. ELECTORAL CONTEXT

Since October 2003, Liberia has been governed by the CPA. Negotiated by the parties to Liberia's conflict, as well as political party representatives and civil society leaders, the CPA established the National Transitional Government of Liberia (NTGL) to implement its provisions and to hold elections at the conclusion of the two-year transition period in October 2005. While the CPA brought an end to the war, transitional leaders ultimately did little to address its root causes. As a consequence, the campaign brought many unresolved issues into focus, particularly in the increasingly tense period before the November 8 run-off election.

Competition for political office at all levels exposed longstanding differences between Liberia's educated elites and the impoverished majority, gender divisions exacerbated by the high incidence of rape and mistreatment of women and girls during the war, the generational divide between elders and a burgeoning young population with limited access to education, employment and land resources, and conflict over the control of revenues derived from Liberia's natural resources.

Both publicly and privately, Liberians have stressed the importance of this election to long-term peace, reconciliation and development. Expectations that Liberia's newly elected government will improve the lives of citizens are high. Endemic corruption and the lack of governance systems in place to ensure transparency and accountability will be among the greatest challenges. In recognition of this challenge, the Governance and Economic Management Assistance Program (GEMAP), developed by the international donor community in coordination with the transitional government, is intended to place international financial experts in key revenue generating agencies to provide more robust oversight, and in some cases, to control Liberia's public finances.

A. First Round Election Observations and Recommendations

On October 11, Liberians began queuing as early as 2:00 am in advance of the scheduled 8:00 am poll opening. With an impressive 75 percent voter turnout, hundreds of polling officials worked throughout the day and into the night. Political party representatives were present in the majority of polling places and worked cooperatively to ensure a peaceful process, though the delegation noted that many representatives were not well-versed on either the process itself or their specific responsibilities. Approximately 1500 domestic election monitors were deployed to monitor the election in Liberia's fifteen counties and played a constructive and nonpartisan role throughout the process. UNMIL and Liberian security officials were on duty at almost every polling place and contributed to a peaceful election day.

A significant number of voters across the country were confused by the voting process, especially by the large number of candidates on the presidential ballot and

last-minute revisions to the procedure for the senatorial ballot. The majority of these voters turned to polling staff for assistance and in many cases were assisted in the ballot booth by presiding officers who, in some cases, were later accused of providing unnecessary or partisan assistance. Although permitted under election guidelines, presiding officers in many polling places were put in positions of considerable influence over the voters they were called upon to assist, and concerns were raised over the protection of the secrecy of the ballot.

None of the 22 candidates in the presidential race garnered the absolute majority of “50 percent plus one” valid votes required to win the race. The top two vote-getters, George Weah of the CDC and the UP’s Ellen Johnson Sirleaf, won 28.3 and 19.8 percent, respectively. The NEC declared official presidential, House and Senate results on October 26, with one Senate seat in Gbarpolu County still in dispute because of allegedly altered polling place tally sheets. Neither the UP nor the CDC gained majorities in either chamber, though the CDC gained 15 of 64 seats in the House, more than any other party.

B. Complaints and Appeals Process

Following the first round, 41 electoral complaints and letters were submitted to the NEC. Rulings are pending in eight of the consolidated 30 hearings held from October 24-31. Hearings on complaints were open to accredited observers, but information about them was not widely disseminated, and procedures for notifying candidates caused some parties to miss their appointments. Many of the complaints were based on misunderstandings of election procedures or law, and some were, according to the NEC adjudicator, more appropriate for complainants to bring to the criminal justice system. Overall, the adjudication procedures left some complainants feeling that their cases were not resolved satisfactorily.

The delegation is aware of concerns raised by the CDC in the form of a written complaint to the NEC over alleged irregularities during the November 8 runoff.

C. Voter Education

Voter education messages in the lead-up to the runoff focused on providing voters with basic information needed to participate effectively in the election, including notification of the date for the runoff, voting locations, the requirement for presentation of voter registration cards. Messages also instructed voters of their right to participate in the second round even if their first round candidate of choice was no longer in the race, and encouraged them to do so. UNMIL and NEC distributed posters, flyers and stickers around the country with these messages, and utilized radio to disseminate and repeat them. Because the reason for and importance of participating in the run-off election was poorly understood by large segments of the population, Liberian civic and community based organizations used radio, town meetings and focus group discussions to explain its importance in bringing the transition process to an end.

IV. THE DELEGATION AND ITS WORK

The delegation arrived in Monrovia on Friday, November 4, and held a series of meetings with NTGL Chairman Gyude Bryant, NEC Chair Frances Johnson-Morris, UN SRSG Alan Doss and other representatives of UNMIL, senior representatives and leaders from political parties who contested the October 11 elections, civic leaders and

THE CARTER CENTER



**CARTER CENTER/NDI INTERIM POST-ELECTION STATEMENT ON THE 2005
LIBERIAN NATIONAL ELECTIONS**

14 Dec 2005

CONTACTS

In Monrovia: Ashley Barr + 231 (0)6 452 022 jashleybarr@gmail.com

In Atlanta: Jon Moor + 1 404 420 5107 jmoor@emory.edu

In Monrovia: Thomas Du + 231 (0)6 523 361 tdu@ndi.org

In Washington: Jean Freedberg +1 202 728 5527 jfreedberg@ndi.org

MONROVIA ... The Nov. 10 preliminary statement issued by the National Democratic Institute (NDI) / Carter Center international observer delegation provided a generally

the Center and NDI have not seen evidence of systematic fraud or problems that would materially affect the election results.

The Need for Restraint by All Parties. The Carter Center and NDI note the restraint with which political parties and their leadership have conducted themselves in the weeks following the runoff elections. We applaud all parties' stated commitment to pursue electoral complaints through the appropriate legal mechanisms. In general, the calm shown by the overwhelming majority of Liberians demonstrates their desire and determination to establish a sustainable peace in the country.

However, the Center and NDI are very concerned about the looting and violence that occurred in the wake of Ambassador Weah's public statement upon his return to Monrovia. Similarly, we are concerned about earlier allegations of threats of violence against some political figures and journalists. We join Liberian democrats in strongly condemning the politics of intimidation and violence. We call on all Liberians to renew their commitment to restraint in words and actions as the country makes its transition away from devastating conflict.

Media. Concerns have been expressed about media coverage of the election complaint hearings and other aspects of the political process. The Center and NDI urge all print, radio, and television media outlets to ensure their reporting is neutral and informative throughout this final period of the election process, as required by their code of conduct. In particular, the media should scrupulously avoid reporting unconfirmed rumors or creating unnecessary public alarm.

Complaints Process. The ongoing hearings on various electoral complaints appear to be affording due process to all parties involved. Although there have been minor problems relating to procedures and personal conduct and rhetoric, the proceedings generally are being conducted with transparency and in conformity with international standards for administrative tribunals.

During the CDC vs. NEC hearing, the Center and its long-term observers witnessed sometimes volatile, extended arguments about procedural matters and occasional personal insults among the attorneys. At times, members of the public also have been allowed to disrupt the hearings.

In the coming days, the full NEC Board of Commissioners may be requested to review the CDC's initial complaint, and the board is scheduled to begin hearing new evidence in the CDC's post-election contestation complaint. In order to maximize public confidence and to ensure an orderly and transparent process, the Center and NDI urge the NEC to take steps to ensure that procedures for these next hearings are understood and followed. In addition, the Center and NDI encourage all those involved in the complaints process to restrain their rhetoric and to continue to conduct themselves in good faith.

We recognize that the electoral complaints process is ongoing, and that the NEC, and possibly the Supreme Court, will make final determinations. We encourage the NEC to issue rulings on electoral complaints publicly and expeditiously. In addition, we urge that any election complaints that go forward to the Supreme Court be handled expeditiously. Delays should not be allowed to undermine this important part of the election process. Most importantly, the Center and NDI underscore that it is essential to Liberia's nascent democracy that NEC officials and Supreme Court justices consider these electoral cases free from intimidation of any kind.

As the electoral complaints procedure continues, the Center and NDI call for continued patience and respect for the process and the rule of law. Ultimately, the people of Liberia will determine whether the election and electoral dispute procedures were credible and transparent and whether the results accurately reflect their political will.

This statement is also available at www.cartercenter.org and www.ndi.org.

The Carter Center is a not-for-profit, nongovernmental organization, founded in 1982 by former U.S. President Jimmy Carter and his wife, Rosalynn, to advance peace and health worldwide. The Center's work in Liberia is supported by the Government of Ireland and USAID. In addition, the Carter Centre U.K. is implementing an electoral assistance program along with Electoral Reform International Services, supported by the European Commission. For more information please visit www.cartercenter.org and www.cartercentre.org.uk.

NDI is a nonprofit organization working to strengthen and expand democracy worldwide. Calling on a global network of volunteer experts, NDI provides practical assistance to civic and political leaders advancing democratic values, practices and institutions. In Liberia, the Institute is providing assistance to civil society organizations to conduct voter education and election monitoring activities across the country. These programs are supported by USAID and the National Endowment for Democracy. For more information, please visit www.ndi.org.

Consistent with the spirit and intent of the Accra Comprehensive Peace Accords of



Pre-Election Delegation

Mark Clack

Country Director
NDI/Nigeria

Tom Crick

Senior Political Analyst and Liberia
Project Director
The Carter Center

Almami Cyllah

former Electoral Commissioner
Interim National Election Commission of
Sierra Leone

Olayinka Lawal

Executive Director
Constitutional Rights Project, Nigeria

James Viray

former Program Officer for Liberia
International Republican Institute

Sidi Diawara

Country Director
NDI/Liberia

Titi Pitso

Senior Elections Program
Manager
NDI/Liberia

Ashley Barr

Country Director
The Carter Center/Liberia

Linda Patterson

Program Officer
NDI/Washington, DC



International Election Observation Delegation to the 2005 Liberia Elections

Leaders:

Jimmy Carter
Former President
Co-Founder, The Carter Center
United States

Nicéphore Soglo
Former President
Benin

Delegates:

Diane Acha Morfaw
Vice President
National Elections Observatory
Cameroon

Rebecca P. Carter

Ashley Barr
Liberia Country Director
The Carter Center
United States

Alexander Bick
Former Acting Liberia Country Director
The Carter Center
United States

Ilana Bleichert
Long-Term Observer
The Carter Center
Canada

David Carroll
Democracy Program Director
The Carter Center
United States

James E. (Chip) Carter, III
Consultant
United States

Tom Crick

Conflict Resolution Program Senior
Political Analyst
The Carter Center
United Kingdom/United States

Almami Cyllah

Former Electoral Commissioner of the
Interim National Election Commission
Sierra Leone

Jim Della-Giacoma

Senior Advisor
National Democratic Institute
Australia

Vivian Lowery Derryck

Senior Vice President and Director of
Public-Private Partnerships
Academy for Educational Development
United States

Fatoumata S. Diallo

Management Consultant
Guinea

Sidi Mohamed Diawara

Liberia Country Director
National Democratic Institute
Mali

Theophilus Dowetin

Programme Officer
Association of African Election
Authorities
Ghana

Chris Fomunyoh

Senior Associate for Africa
National Democratic Institute
Cameroon

David Harris

Long-Term Observer
The Carter Center
United Kingdom

Barrie Hofmann

Senior Advisor
National Democratic Institute
United States

Nicholas Jahr

Long-Term Observer
The Carter Center
United States

William Krause

Information Systems Consultant
United States

Brett Lacy

Long-Term Observer
The Carter Center
United States

Jeremy Levitt

Associate Professor of Law
Florida International University College of
Law
United States

Thoko Matshe

Gender Expert
Zimbabwe

Pat Merloe

Senior Associate and Director of
Electoral Programs
National Democratic Institute
United States


Segametsi G. Modisaotsile

Coordinator of the Political Education
Project
Emang Basadi Association
Botswana

Koki Muli-Grignon

Advocate
High Court of Kenya
Kenya

Maud Nyamhunga
Long-Term Observer
The Carter Center
Zimbabwe



NDI/Carter Center International Election Observation Delegation for Liberia's first round national elections



International Election Observation Delegation to the 2005 Liberia Presidential Runoff Election

Leaders:

Alex Ekwueme
Former Vice President
Nigeria

David Carroll
Democracy Program Director
The Carter Center
United States

Chris Fomunyoh
Senior Associate for Africa
National Democratic Institute
Cameroon

Delegates:

Ashley Barr
Liberia Country Director
The Carter Center
United States

Ilana Bleichert
Long-Term Observer
The Carter Center
Canada

Malik M. Chaka
Policy Analyst

Sidi Mohamed Diawara

Liberia Country Director
National Democratic Institute
Mali

Margot Gould

Assistant Program Officer for Africa
International Institute for Democracy and
Electoral Assistance
Australia

National Democratic Institute *Australia*

David ralia
Libe2.29a



THE
CARTER CENTER

