

Source: University of Texas Libraries

TH

۲**ا** 

One Copenhill 453 Freedom Parkway Atlanta, GA 30307 (404) 420-5188 Fax (404) 420-5196 www.cartercenter.org 

## Contents

Executive Summary 1
Political Background 3
The 2005 Elections 3
2006–2009 3
Carter Center Observation
Mission and Methodology 5
Direct Observation of Voter Registration 5
Legal and Technical Analysis6.
Analysis of the Electoral Legal Framework 7
Legal Framework for Election Administration7
Evaluation of Legal Framework and Its
Implementation During Voter Registration 8
Legal Analysis of Women's Participation. 11
Observing the Biometric Voter Registration 14
Observation of Voter Registration14.
Technical Processes 17
Observing the General Elections, Dec. 6, 200922
The Biometric Registry in the Elections 23
Results 23
Conclusions and Recommendations 24
The Biometric Registration:
Overall Assessment 24
Election Observation
Technical, Operational, and
Administrative Recommendations 26
Legal and Normative Recommendations 28

Appendix A: Acknowledgements	30
Appendix B: The Carter Center	
Observation Delegation and Staff	31
Appendix C: Terms and Abbreviations	32
Appendix D: Memorandum of Understanding.	33
Appendix E: Bolivia's International	
Obligations for Democratic Elections	36
Appendix F: Carter Center Press Releases	37
Appendix G: Registration and	
Election Day Checklists	55
Appendix H: Election Results	65
Carter Center at a Glance	66

## **Executive Summary**

he Dec. 6, 2009, presidential and legislative elections were the first elections held under the new constitution of the Plurinational

in the voter registration process

and the general elections confirmed that the Bolivian people have a strong commitment to democratic life and that elections are regarded as a legitimate mean to settle differences.

The Carter Center mission in Bolivia was targeted, focusing on long-term observation of the voter registration process. As such, the mission did not con-

duct a comprehensive assessment

of the entire electoral process. The Carter Center opened a field office in La Paz that operated from Aug. 15 to Dec. 15. During this time, voter registration was assessed through direct observation, as wellgenerated a climate of uncertainty. They also proas technical and legal analysis. The mission also included a limited observation of the Dec. 6 general with the Declaration of Principles for International Election Observation. This report covers the findings of olivia's international obligations. The Carter Center mission.

The credibility of the 2009 electoral process, as well as that of future elections, depends to a great degree on the legitimacy of the biometric registry. In only 76 days, the Plurinational Electoral Body (OEP) and the Departmental Electoral Courts (CDEs) regis- legitimacy of the OEP and the voter registration in tered more than five million Bolivian citizens living in Bolivia and four foreign countries.

The Carter Center mission found that the biometcharacterized by high levels of citizen participation, and that Bolivia's electoral legislation and constitu-

tion provide an adequate legal framework for a voter

registration process consistent with Bolivia's international commitments.

The success of the voter registration campaign State of Bolivia. The high rate of citizen participation highlights the remarkable efforts of the OEP and the

> departmental courts, whose work was characterized by goodwill and a determination to complete the registration in a short

The Carter Center mission inperiod of time. In the lead up to Bolivia was targeted, focusing biometric registry contributed to on long-term observation of the eater confidence in the voting voter registration process. process and the acceptance of

the results.

While the registration campaign was largely successful, a series of difficulties involving the

compilation of the voter registry and identification of duplicate records occurred after registration ended, only a week before the elections. These difficulties

voked questions about the technical capacity of the OEP to carry out the elections on time with a credielections. The mission was conducted in accordance ble voter registry and to administer electoral processes that comply with national electoral laws and fulfill

The Carter Center had access to most stages of the registration process. The Center reported that the OEP and the CDEs worked with determination, goodwill and professionalism. An improved communications strategy would contribute to increasing the future elections. However, Center observers had limited access to observe the electronic processing of the registration data. For example, Carter Center observric registry was conducted in a peaceful environment, ers were unable to obtain information about the speed

2 Argentina, Brazil, Spain, and the United States.

<sup>1</sup> The 2009 constitution changed the name of the National Electoral Court (CNE) to the OEP.



he purpose of The Carter Center's voter registration observation mission was to inform and shape the perceptions of both Bolivians and key international actors regarding the quality and legitimacy of the voter registration process. The Direct Observation of Voter Carter Center's observation methodology uses asses Registration ment criteria based on Bolivia's electoral laws, the constitution and the country's international obligations regarding democratic elections and human rights.

The Center's observation of the biometric registration included three areas of observation and technical office director Nicolás Fernández-Bravo and deputy analysis: direct observation of voter registration, an analysis of the electoral legal framework in comparison to international obligations for democratic elec-

tions, and a technical analysis of encoding, transmitting, storing and processing registration data to create the new voter registry.

On Aug. 19, 2009, The Carter Center and the OEP signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) (see Appendix D) which permitted The Center to observe the biometric voter registration process. Field field office director Santiago Mariani managed the observation mission from La Paz. Under their direction, three two-member long-term observer teams were deployed throughout the country starting Aug. 19. The long-term observers, with additional support of Atlanta-based staff, observed registrations centers

abroad registered to vote (Argentina, Brazil, United States, and Spain?).

The mission's direct observation methodology included three main elements. First, observers aimed to monitor as many registration centers as possible, covering as much of the Bolivian territory as was feasible. Second, observers focused on seven areas of observation that touched upon social, political and technical aspects of the registration process. These areas were: access to information, transparency, citizen participation and education, the political environment,

the functioning of registration centers, the A pair of Carter Center long-term observers visit a registration center in performance of registration officials, and theoroico.

processing of biometric data. The observers used a standard form to record their observations and submitted a weekly report to the field office director. Third, Carter Center observers paid particular attention to the transmission of registration data that was to be used to create the voter registry for the Dec. 6 elections.

Observers met regularly with members of the Electoral Departmental Courts (CDEs), representatives of political parties and civil society, religious groups, civic committees, indigenous organizations, academics, union members and leaders, and citizens registering to vote. In addition to submitting weekly reports, long-term observers also wrote comprehensive reports following the conclusion of voter registration and the elections.

#### Legal and Technical Analysis

In addition to the long-term observers, the mission included an expert on electronic data processing who focused on the technical aspects of the biometric registration system and helped identify which parts of the registration process the long-term observers should focus on in the field. The technical expert prepared two reports the Center shared with the OEP in order to provide timely feedback to improve the registration process.

The Carter Center mission also included a legal analyst to examine Bolivian electoral law in comparison to Bolivia's international and regional obligations in public international law. This analysis in turn helped long-term observers assess the degree to which the voter registration and other processes met international standards for democratic elections.

A citizen finishes registration by signing a digital pad. To register, she also had her identification document and all 10 fingerprints scanned and her photo taken.

<sup>3</sup> The Carter Center conducts election observation missions in accordance with theDeclaration of Principles of International Election Observation and Code of Condubat was adopted at the United Nations in 2005 and has been endorsed by 35 election observation groups.

## Analysis of the Electoral Legal Framework

olivia's electoral processes are conducted on the basis of national legislation and in accordance with its international obligations. The legal framework for the Dec. 6 general elections is established in the 2009 Constitution of the Plurinational Bolivian State (CPEB) and the Transitional Electoral Regime (RET)Any omissions

the Electoral Codé, the Law of Political Parties and the Law of Citizen Groups and Indigenous People. The Plurinational Electoral Body (OEP) and the **Departmental Electoral Courts** (CDEs) may also issue special legal provisions to enact the general electoral legislation contained in the CPEB and the RFT

In addition to national electoral legislation, the Bolivian Constitution also recognizes the applicability of the human

rights treaties ratified by Bolivia within its territory. Furthermore, it affirms that all rights included in international human rights instruments ratified by

more favorable than the ones prescribed by the con- electoral process at the district level. stitution. Finally, the constitution grants direct application of international treaties ratified by Bolivia to the national legal framework with the rank of law.

#### Legal Framework for Election Administration

The OEP is the electoral branch of the government, independent of the executive, legislative and judiciary branches. The independence of the electoral branch and the reinforcement of its duties to fully regulate

electoral administration are of major importance for ensuring that the elections are in conformity with Bolivia's international obligations.

As a cohesive unit, the OEP has the overall responsibility of organizing and administering electoral processes, as well as tabulating the results and resolving disputes. The OEP is composed of distinct by the RET are covered under the subsidiary laws of organizations that have different roles in the admin-

> istration of elections. Principally, the Supreme Electoral Court (SEC) has seven elected members who are responsible for guaranteeing and protecting political rights. The court is also respon-

sible for organizing and adminis-Carter Center found that the tering the voter registry and the

framework provides a reasonable registry. The CDEs oversee

context for the conduct of democratic elections.

Based on its analysis and

the legal framework, The

the administration of voter registration and elections in their district. They are responsible for the implementation of legislation and election procedures established by the SEC. Electoral

judges and notaries work within the CDEs to organize and administer registration and elections at each polling station. The electoral judges are responsible for Bolivia shall prevail even in cases where they may be monitoring the legal aspects and functioning of the

4



departmental boundaries rather than proportional representation based on population density. One special district comprising several peasant and indigenous communities was assigned to each of seven departments of the nine constituent departments in Bolivia. This led several indigenous groups to file complaints about the delineation of special districts alleging that the boundary delimitation failed to include some minority groups, and requesting more information about how the districts were determined. Without clear guidelines for establishing special districts it is possible that the political rights of indigenous voters and candidates is restricted.

In addition, the publication of ballots and election materials exclusively in Spanish represents another barrier to the full exercise of the political rights of indigenous populations. It is a recognized good practice that elections materials be available in main minority languages of the country<sup>11</sup> Electoral materials should be available in at least\_\_\_\_\_\_two official languages according to which languages are spoken in each regiori<sup>2</sup>

ms,ing 5iit

ciobroating 5how vely is

of implementing biometric registration abroad, the RET stipulated that Bolivians living abroad would make up only six percent of the registered voters from the national electoral registry. Furthermore, no single country could account for more than 50 percent of the overseas registered voters.

While the Center's mission acknowledges the practical concern for implementing voter registration in four foreign countries, it is also important to recognize that limiting foreign registration to six percent of the national voter registry is a clear violation of the principles of equality and universal suffråge.

In response to such concerns, the Chamber of Deputies passed a law that allowed all Bolivian citizens living abroad to register and vote in the elections. The OEP initially stood by its decision to limit overseas registrants to six percent of the total of expected registration until just 10 days before the registration deadline, when it decided to lift the ceiling. It is likely that this last minute decision caused confusion and was made too late to increase the number of Bolivians voting abroad.

#### Right to appeal

The Carter Center legal analysis found that the electoral framework does not provide a system that guarantees the rights of citizens to present complaints or solicit a review of OEP decisions. In exceptional cases, in theory, citizens can appeal to the Constitutional Court. The Constitutional Court,

To inform expatriates about voter registration for the upcoming Bolivia elections, posters were displayed in train stations in Barcelona, Spain.

however, was not functioning during voter registration or in the lead up to elections. As such, citizens had no available mechanism to legally challenge any decision of the OEP. The lack of an appeals process left Bolivian citizens unable to demand or protect their fundamental rights. The Carter Center suggests that Bolivians create a functioning system for the adjudication of disputes at all levels, including ordinary courts, special electoral courts, and the Constitutional Court.

A sign in La Paz promotes Marcela Revollo, female candidate for the lower chamber.





actually voted on Dec. 6. The OEP, the CDEs and in t registration officials used mobile voter registration stations to reach rural communities and for voter registration stations abroad. The Center found that the registration process was a logistical success, largely free of irregularities, and demonstrating the dedication of electoral officials and citizens to democratic elections.

Transparency and access to registration centers Registration officials demonstrated commitment to transparency and cooperation with international and national observers. Officials granted Carter Center observers free access to registration centers and departmental courts and facilitated their access to requested information. Carter Center observers noted the extensive efforts of accredited national observers from Transparent Bolivia<sup>3</sup>. The participation of civil society groups helped strengthen citizens' confidence

in their electoral institutions and contributed to a more transparent electoral process.

**Registration processes** 

depcisons toakn cbythe dDEs acased monfiuson ond dld to ainonfsstrnt erocesdurs antDsefsstve epontesain



In Huanuni, Oruro, a mining town with a strong union history, miners were pressured to register to vote in Huanuni and not at their place of residence. This may have caused confusion among voters and could have led some people to register twice. Clearer guidelines and enforcement of registration in places of residence may have avoided this problem.

The resettlement of citizens from the west in the town of Santa Rosa del Abuná, Pando, raised concerns about the right of citizens from other regions to register in Pando. Residents of Santa Rosa del Abuná and the Civic Committee asked the OEP and the National Institute of Agrarian Reform (INRA) responsible for the resettlement to explain the rationale for the resettlement. After reviewing the situation, the OEP ruled that electoral law permitted all eligible voters to register. Thus those recently relocated to Santa Rosa del Abuná were permitted to register to vote. The Carter Center commends the manner in which the OEP resolved the case, but recommends clearer regulations regarding the registration for internal migrants, displaced people, and people living in recently established settlements.

#### Voter registration abroad

As noted above, for the first time in Bolivian history, Bolivians living abroad in Spain, Argentina, Brazil,







Observation Mission of the Bolivia Voter Registration

Pre-election political climate

 $\overline{\gamma}$ 

cal parties. According to the polls published in the lead up to the election, the three most popular parties were MAS-MSM, PPB-Convergencia, and UN. Table 3 provides an overview of the presidential and vice-presidential candidates.

#### The Biometric Registry in the Elections

Observers noted that the majority of voters presented their registration documentation or voter identification documents to compare with the biometric voter list at the polling booth. Citizens remarked that the inclusion of voter pho-

tos in the register marked a significant change from previous elections. Citizens demonstrated their civic commitment and boosted confidence in the elections through their participation as political party del-

Transparent Bolivia.

#### Results

President Evo Morales and Vice President Álvaro 'ARCÓA, INERA WERE RE ELECTELES o chalisment (MAS), and the Rocate NityTparty in the of the popular vote. The president's political party, and 88 seats in the Chamber of Deputies (see Appendix H). The results were accepted without legal or public contestation by all political parties and tation with the other political parties, the regions of by the general public.

In a first statement issued on Dec. 7, 2009, The Carter Center commended all participants, electoral officials, and Bolivian citizens for their dedication to fair and free elections. Although its assessment was based on a relatively limited number of observers, The

by a peaceful atmosphere and high voter turnout. the opening of the polls, The Carter Center observersElectoral Body law was passed June 17, 2010.)

noted massive citizen participation.

A voter examines the ballot before aproaching the polling booth.

In the general context of the deep social and political transformations, the 2009 election marks the starting point for implementing the new constitution, which implies the redesign of the legal and instituegates and observers in the consortium of NGOs, and ional framework of the Bolivian state.

In 2010 and beyond, the new Plurinational Legislative Assembly faces the challenge of passing and implementing five lawsthat are fundamental to guaranteeing the rule of law. The Movimiento legislature as well as the executive, has the primary MAS, also won 26 seats in the Chamber of Senators responsibility for drafting these laws. As President Evo Morales said in a speech on Dec. 6, 2009, it will be very important to have a broad and inclusive consulthe country, and social sectors to generate laws with as strong a public backing and legitimacy as possible.

27 Voting is mandatory in Bolivia.

Carter Center reported that polling was characterized implement are the laws governing the Plurinational Electoral Body, the Electoral Law, the Judicial Body, the Plurinational Constitutional Court, and the laws of Autonomy and Decentralization. (The Plurinational

he Dec. 6, 2009, presidential and legislative elections were the first held under the new Political Constitution of the Plurinational State of Bolivia. Resounding support for President Evo Morales and the ruling party, MAS, indicated the population's widespread desire to continue the social number of voters in a short period of time. While and political transformation MAS initiated in 2005. Thus the election results challenge the re-elected government to design a new legal and institutional framework that will strengthen and support the rule of law.

The Carter Center election observation mission focused on the creation and implementation of the biometric voter registry over the course of four months. As such, the Center's mission did not provide a comprehensive assessment of election day and the logistical preparation of the elections. Other international and domestic observation missions focused specifically on election campaigns, polling day, and provided an overall evaluation of those processes. The Center hopes that the conclusions drawn from observing the biometric voter registration process will complement the work of these organizations.

The Carter Center's recommendations refer to both general and specific technical aspects of the biometric voter registration process. It is hoped that these recommendations will positively impact the Bolivian electoral system by helping to safeguard the credibility of future elections.

#### The Biometric Registration: **Overall Assessment**

The biometric voter registration exceeded the expectations of the general population by registering a large this was an important achievement, it also obscured the risks involved in producing a new voter registry in such a short time frame. Should the process have

s # ITIZENS REGISTERING TO VOTE AE clear information about the validity of the documents which they need to register to vote.

s#ITIZENS IN "OLIVIA LACKED CLEAR determining place of residency.

s 2 E G I S T R A T I O N O F F I C I A L S D I D N O T procedures for transmitting data daily to local and regional supervisors.

s4HE /%0 DID NOT HAVE AN OFFICIA sending, tracking, and receiving data discs at the data center.

S#ONFUSION WAS CAUSED BY THE E

ments wh]TJ /Cr25(validitynsmitse818te.)Becaus]TJ /C0mta

ments whinstitu-(th's-25(wh]Tput25(validitywa4900T46r )ualTr ) Td ()Tjstrengs )neddatllowresidencys whgto the818

ficulties. However, some public announcements issued by the OEP and independent decisions taken by the Departmental Electoral Courts led to inconsistent procedures and confusion. Some concrete examples include the following:

s) N S T R U C T I O N S A B O U T T H E R E G I S T R A T I O N P R O C E S S G A V E C O Nflicting information about whether or not citizens should wait to receive a notification to register.







Observation Mission of the Bolivia Voter Registration

tively inform Bolivians about all matters related to

# 

## Appendix B The Carter Center Observation Delegation and Staff

#### Delegation Leaders (Dec. 6 Elections)

Dr. Jennifer McCoy, Director, Americas Program, The Carter Center, USA Marcelo Varela Erasheva, Associate Director, The Carter Center, Costa Rica Nicolás Fernández Bravo, Field Office Director, The Carter Center, Argentina

Alejandro Nató, Carter Center Permanent Representative to Bolivia, Argentina

Santiago Mariani

## Appendix C Terms and Abbreviations

	AS	Social Alliance, Alianza Social	OEP	Plurinational Electoral Body, Órgano Electoral Plurinacional
	BSD	Social Democratic Bolivia Bocial Demócrata	Observado	Electoral registry of a citizen who does not have the required documen- tation (birth certificate) as a proof of citizenship or age
	CNE	National Electoral Court,Corte Nacional Electoral		
	CDE	Departmental Electoral Court, Corte Departamental Electoral	Padrón	Voters list
Depuración	Depuración		PPB	Progressive Plan for Bolivi₿lan Progreso para Bolivia
	in the voters list, such as duplicate records and records of underage or	PULSO	Villages for SovereigntyPueblos para la Soberanía	
		the names of deceased people	RET	Transitional Electoral Regime,
	EU	European Union		Régimen Electoral Transitorio
	LTO	Long-term observer	SEC	Supreme Electoral Court
MA		AS Movement Toward Socialism, Movimiento al Socialismo	STO	Short-term observer
			UN	Nacional Unity, Unidad Nacional
	MUPSA	Movement for Patriotic Social Unity, Movimiento de Unidad Social Patriotic	ca	
	049	Organization of American States		

OAS Organization of American States



 $\overline{\gamma}$ 

of Principles for International Election Observation, adopted at the United Nations in 2005, and with applicable Bolivian law. The Center will remain in close communication with the Bolivian authorities, all political parties, candidates, domestic observers and civil society organizations, media, and other domestic observer missions.

The Center will release periodic public statements on its findings, available on its Web site: www.cartercenter.org.



Observation Mission of the Bolivia Voter Registration

LA

The Carter Center believes it is still premature to the fundamental elements for fostering citizen trust in evaluate the entire process and urges all of the actors the electoral process.

to maintain order and respect for the development of the process underway. Both the capture of information and the verification and purging of the biometric electoral census record constitute crucial steps that will confer legitimacy, transparency, and consistency to the instrument being developed by the National Electoral Court. The Carter Center will continue to observe the process of data transmission and the cor<del>al</del> pilation of the electoral registry. The quality of the information in the biometric electoral census is one ofdomestic observer missions.



Observation Mission of the Bolivia Voter Registration

LA PAZ ... In a report released today, The Carter Center commended the publication of the biometric voter registry by the National Electoral Court (CNE) for contributing significantly to the transparency of the ing significantlysif





total, the Center observed 327 registration centers in Bolivia, Brazil, Spain, Argentina, the United States.

Carter Center observers collaborated closely with Bolivia Transparente, consortium of Bolivian NGOs, by sharing information regarding electoral observat methods.

The mission's expert on information technogy visited the Processing Center (Data Center), though access was limited. The expert also visited registration centers in Co the Lake Titicaca zone (Copacabana), Huanuni, Cobija, Santa Rosa del Abuná, Senda, de Dios and Riveralta. He was also able to observe data transmission from se registration centers that Internet connection (online) in La Paz and El Alto.

#### Legal framework of the Bolivian electoral process

The present electoral process is governed by the Political Constitution of the (CPEB in Spanish) and the Transitory Electoral Regime (RET, Law 4021). This nestablishes the application of the Electorad C, the Political Parties Law, and the Citizen and Indigenous Peoples Unions Law when facted ornissions on the part of the RET. The National Electoral Court and Departmental Courts issue circulars and guidelines with purpose of making operative the electoral process.

The CC carries out its observation and analysis activities on the basis of these commitments and following internationally agreed precepts for the organization administration of democratic elections, to which the Plurinational State of Bolivia adhivoluntarily.<sup>1</sup>

The present legal framework provides a domain for democratic elections and an electoral system that guantaes the rights recognized by international standards democratic elections. Nonetheless, during the biometric vogiestration, the Carter Center's mission has identified some areas of concerichtvahould be addressed guarantee the full expression of these rights:

- 1. Designation of the total number of CNE Directors
- 2. A continual updating of the CNE Web page
- 3. Clarify the criteria to be applied in the territorial delimitation of Specia Constituencies for the assignment of seats in the Chamber of Representa of the Plurinational Assembly
- 4. Establish criteria relative to the relocation of citizens during electo processes
- 5. Compliance with the terms established in the electoral calendar
- 6. Creation of a system that permits citizens the right of appeal



- 7. Promote compliance with parity in the representation of women in the lists candidates for the Plurinational Legislative Body
- 8. Permit the registration and voting of all Bolivian citizens living abroad

#### Technical analysis of data processing

Although the Center's technical expert had very limited access to key data process centers and information, the Center was atoleobserve the process for the capture transmission, custody, storage, processizing depuration of demographic biometric information for the elaboration of the new biometric electoral register.

The observation of this process has permitted the Carter Center's mission to ide three elements which could effect the preparian of the biometric electoral register. However, it is important to highlight that restricted access to biometric informat processing centers in La Paz has prevented the mission from generating a comprehensi final technical analysis of this process.

Storage, custody, and transmission of dataccording to the initial plan, registration centers with an Internet connection would transmit registration data in real time to the center in La Paz. Mobile registration centers and those that did not have a connecti Internet would send their registration data in compact discs daily to one of the 5 centralization offices, which woodlstore the information and send it via Internet to the da center.

This transmission scheme was not executed primarily due to: 1) the difficulty obtaining Internet lines with sufficient broad band capacity; 2) technical problems with software that registration centers/centralization offices would use to communicate with data center servers; 3) delaystime setting up of the principal and secondary data cente Due to these delays, the principal data centeric should have been operation from the beginning of the biometric registration process, only began to operate on Oct. 15. secondary data center starteperations on Sept. 5.

As a result of these limitation and technical difficultiese tONE implemented a system for the collection of registration data through compact discs (CDs). The data were collected by inspectors at the registration centers, then stored in the Departn Electoral Courts and later sent to the data ceintethse city of La Paz. For future elections, the Center recommends that thransmission of biometric data



## Observation Mission of the Bolivia Voter Registration

According to the information supplied by CNE, the AFbs the secondary data



registration, but in the end did not constitute a serious obstacle to the satisfac development of the process.

- 7. During fieldwork, Carter Center observers did not perceive cases of discrimination intimidation that would suggest the presence of organized strategidescrimination vis-à-vis groups of citizens purposefully preventing some people from registering.
- 8. Citizens have been allowed to register in centers outside of their zone, city department of residence. This provision has generated accussible parties of the opposition, in particular reference to citizens that have registered outside of the Propertment with the intention of voting there during the Dec. 6 elections. The accusations are not unfounded. The number of citizens registered in Pando increby 34 percent (from 32,510 to 43,855) with respect to the previous electoral registion. Whether this increase represents a registration pattern that is unique to Pando c be assessed without statistical information on the number of citizens that registion out of their area of residence in the nation.
- 9. In compliance of article 21 of the law M021 (Régimen Electdra Transitorio) the CNE compared its biometric data with the data base of the Civil Registry. As a re: 400,671 citizens, which had previously registered in the biometric data using the valid documents permitted by law (Cédula de Identidad, Registro Único Nacic o Libreta de servicio Militar), were conditionally included in the voter's list by th CNE upon presenting their birth rotecate. This decision, not foreseen in the law, was based on the fact that theoretizens, while registered toote, do not appear in the data base of the Civil Registry. The Carter Center believes this has been a diff but wise decision.
- 10. The Center recognizes the good will demonstrated by the CNE to assure participation of citizens in the electoraphrocesses. This is reflected in the accreditation of national observers of the Bolivia Transparente consortium. participation of civil society organizations strengthens citizens' confidence i Bolivian institutions and contributes totransparent electoral process.

#### Recommendations

On the basis of the information collectethe events described and the issue discussed in this report, the Carter Center's mission presents the following recommendat

Relative to norms:

 The Center urges the CNE to propose to the National Congress the nominatic the two remaining directors to complete the Plenary Court of the highest elect authority, in accordance with article 29,ctien f, of the Electoral Law (LE in Spanish). The appointment of the total number of directors will permit the CNE



the CNE represent a minimum quorum noteet in the Plenary Court, thus increasing the responsibilities of each on etso flirectors.

- 2. The CNE should take advantage of the Internet web page resource to provide dai information and rapidly disseminate deviations and guidelines that affect the development of the elections processisTwould create more confidence among stakeholders and citizens, demonstrating transparency in the actions of the CN and guaranteeing the right of access to information.
- 3. To guarantee the right to universal suffrage, equity and the absence of all forms discrimination at elections, the criteria for territorial delimitation of the Special Constituencies should be clearly defined *r*-tfree assignment of seats as well as for the procedure to be followed drug the electoral registration.
- 4. The CNE should anticipate possible conflict situations in regions where the National Plan for the Distribution of Land and Settlements is being developed These situations may have hindered registration or incretase possibility of duplicate records of citizens in the resettlet perocess. For future elections, it is advisable that the relocation programs be suspended during election processes. this is not possible, the CNE should adopt measures to guarantee that all citizel can register.
- 5. Citizen registration should be carried **ovithout** affecting the elections calendar. In this case, the adjustments to the calendare resulted in a very short period for the publication of the electdrægister, with very little time before the presidential and legislative elections, hindering the ability of political parties and citizens to process corrections and/or present claims, which if not duly answered could limi the political rightsof citizens.
- 6. In an effort to increase the protection of citizens' rights, the creation of a system that allows citizens the recourse of appeal at differentiates important, including the scope of constitutional protection of the fundamental rights.
- 7. Respect for gender equality between men and women does not exclusively depe on the existence of a norm, but requires afaitive action on the part of the State. The State should assure itsplaication and also generate a greater social awareness of gender equity. In this sense, the CNE should exercise a leadership role by mea of a massive campaign of civic educatithat actively promotes the rights of women and gender equality.
- 8. For future elections, the CNE should nsider steps to promote the rights of Bolivians living abroad to vote. This may require changes to the electoral law in order to preserve the principles of quality, universal suffrage, and the rights against discrimination.

LA PAZ ... The Carter Center announced today that it will deploy a small observation delegation to Bolivia's Dec. 6 elections, led by Jennifer McCoy, of the relatively small scale of the election day mission, the delegation will not provide an overall assessment of the conduct of polling and counting procedures. Instead, the mission will focus on the general environment surrounding the elections. After ed in accordance with the Declaration of Principles the elections, the mission will prepare a preliminary statement covering the election day environment as well as the findings from the Center's long-term observation of voter registration and other electoral preparations.

Working at the invitation of the National Electoral Court and under the terms of an agreed MOU with the CNE. The Carter Center has been observing director of the Center's Americas Program. Because the electoral process in Bolivia since August, focusing primarily on the new biometric voter registration process. The Center deployed six long-term observers in August, along with several technical and electoral experts. The Center's observation mission is conductfor International Election Observation and Code of Conduct that was adopted at the United Nations in 2005 and has been endorsed by 35 election observation groups. The Center is assessing the Bolivian electoral process based on Bolivia's legal framework and its international obligations regarding genuine

The Carter Center released on Dec. 1 its latest reportdemocratic elections. on the finalization of the biometric register process,

available at www.cartercenter.org. In the report, the Center presents recommendations and preliminary

"Waging Peace, Fighting Disease, Building Hope"

conclusions based on direct observation of the regis- The Carter Center: A not-for-profit, nongovernmental tration process, a technical analysis of the data pro- organization, The Carter Center has helped to improve cessing, and an analysis of the legal framework gov-life for people in moframewoternacourisses by prolerving erning electoral processes in Bolivia. Previous reportsdemoccyon, Figsng, and revaof the long-term mission are also available on the Carter Center's Web site.

health care; and teaching farmers in developing nationsife Rosalynn, in partnership with Emory University, to increase crop production. The Carter Center was four added nce peace and health worldwide. Please visit www. in 1982 by former U.S. President Jimmy Carter and his artercenter.org to learn more about The Carter Center.





# Appendix G Registration and Election Day Checklists









## The Carter Center at a Glance

Overview: The Carter Center was founded in 1982 by former U.S. President Jimmy Carter and his wife, organization, financed by private donations Rosalynn, in partnership with Emory University, to advance peace and health worldwide. A nongovernmental organization, the Center has helped to improve life for people in more than 70 countries by resolving conflicts; advancing democracy, human rights, and economic opportunity; preventing diseases; improving mental health care; and teaching farmers to increase crop production.

Accomplishments: The Center has observed more than 80 elections in 30 countries; helped farmers dou ble or triple grain production in 15 African countries; worked to prevent and resolve civil and international conflicts worldwide; intervened to prevent unnecessary diseases in Latin America and Africa; and strived Administration and is open to the public. to diminish the stigma against mental illnesses.

Budget: \$90.5 million 2009-2010 operating budget.

Donations: The Center is a 501(c)(3) charitable from individuals, foundations, corporations, and international development assistance agencies. Contributions by U.S. citizens and companies are tax-deductible as allowed by law.

Facilities: The nondenominational Cecil B. Day Chapel and other facilities are available for weddings, corporate retreats and meetings, and other special events. For information, (404) 420-5112.

Location: In a 35-acre park, about 1.5 miles east of downtown Atlanta. The Jimmy Carter Library and Museum, which adjoins the Center, is owned and operated by the National Archives and Records (404) 865-7101.

Staff: 160 employees, based primarily in Atlanta.